



This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a public meeting of EFRAG SR TEG. The paper forms part of an early stage of the development of a potential EFRAG position. Consequently, the paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG SRB or EFRAG SR TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in the EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions, as approved by the EFRAG SRB, are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers, or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

[draft] LSME V3.1 SR TEG and SRB Summary of detailed comments

Contents

Description	2
To be further discussed with SR TEG (per LSME section)	3
Section 1 - General requirements (5 points):	3
Additional Topic to discuss at SR TEG as emerged from the LSME SRB Questionnaire – Value chain cap	7
Section 2 and 3 - General Disclosures and Policies, Actions, Targets, Engagement and Remediation (8 points)	8
Section 4 – Environment (6 points)	18
Section 5 — Social (8 points)	21
Section 6 – Business conduct (4 points)	28
To inform the SR TEG (per LSME section)	29
Section 1 (3 points)	29
Section 2 and 3 (3 points)	

[draft] LSME V3.1 SR TEG and SRB Summary of detailed comments

Section 4 (4 points)	32
Section 5 (3 points)	34
Section 6 (1 point):	35

Description

- 1. This paper provides a summary of the comments that EFRAG Secretariat highlighted as key discussion points for the SR TEG meeting of 12 September 2023 (14 SR TEG members provided feedback).
- 2. EFRAG secretariat suggests that SR TEG discussions focus on the comments that have been identified as pending, either because i) there are conflicting views, or ii) they are new proposal compared to draft text in in LSME and VSME or iii) further clarification is needed.
- 3. These comments are illustrated below. SR TEG may refer to agenda paper 03-02 LSME V3.1 Comment log SR TEG and SRB feedback for a detailed view of the LSME feedback.



To be further discussed with SR TEG (per LSME section)

Section 1 - General requirements (5 points):

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat proposal/ comments	Action
Entity-specific	From SRT:		The topic on entity specific has	Does SR TEG
16. In addition to the disclosure	I do not agree with entity specific		already been discussed several	confirm the
requirements laid down in the sections	disclosures for SMEs, all content in		times.	Secretariat
described in paragraph 9, when an	this regard should be dropped in my			proposal?
undertaking concludes that an impact or risk	view. The standard will be very		Consistent with the outcome of	
is not covered or not covered with sufficient	comprehensive, and it is still hard to		those discussions, the Secretariat	
granularity by a section of this standard but is	imagine what else should an SME		proposes to keep the entity specific	
material due to its specific facts and	include in its sustainability reporting.		dimension. This is the same	
circumstances, it shall provide additional			requirements as for large	
entity-specific disclosures to enable users to	From SRB (3 comments):		undertakings, to avoid unfair	
understand the undertaking's sustainability-	 It is an important element 		treatment of LSMEs' investors	
related impacts and risks. Application	and would not make sense		compared to what they get for large	
requirements AR 1 to AR 4 provide further	to delete. Expectations may		undertakings.	
guidance regarding entity-specific disclosures.	however be adjusted to the			
	scope of			
	complexity/granularity.			
	Entity specific is indeed			
	valuable information for			
	investors but for LSME's this			
	decision should be left to			
	the discretion of the			
	company / I disagree with			
	a) the "shall" on entity -			
	specific disclosures			
	3) For LSME, entity specific			
	disclosure should be			
	voluntary.			
3.2 Material matters and materiality of	SRT:	Delete	To be aligned with Set 1 (DA July	Does SR TEG
<u>information</u>			there is new regime for Climate	confirm the



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat proposal/ comments	Action
38. If the undertaking concludes that a topic	The whole para is not necessary,		change and EU datapoints).	Secretariat
is not material and therefore it omits all the	should be deleted because this is		Secretariat proposal is to match the	proposal?
Disclosure Requirements in a topical section	one of the candidates I think we		text of Set1.	
of this [draft] ESRS, it may briefly explain the	could release SMEs from.			
conclusions of its materiality assessment for				
the topic (see Section 2 of this [draft] ESRS	Even if 38 contains a may, I do not			
IR-2 Disclosure Requirements in ESRS covered	feel this is important to be disclosed			
by the undertaking's sustainability	because this is already subject to the			
statements).	audit. I.e. the undertaking has to			
	discuss that with the auditor who I			
	think is more capable to judge that			
	than the public users of the			
	sustainability statement.			
3.2 Material matters and materiality of	The para should be deleted as well	Delete	To be aligned with Set 1 (DA July	Does SR TEG
information	as there is no information attached		there is new regime for Climate	confirm the
39. When reporting on metrics, and when	to it.		change and EU datapoints)	Secretariat
disclosing the datapoints that derive from	Or is this somehow necessary to		Secretariat proposal is to match the	proposal?
other EU legislation listed in Appendix B of	limit the gap between ESRS and		text of Set1.	
Section 2, if the undertaking omits	SFDR PAIs? If it is meant to be I am		To be discussed if we can further	
information prescribed by either a Disclosure	highly unsure whether the gap can		simplify for LSME.	
Requirement or a datapoint of a Disclosure	really be bridged by this paragraph.			
Requirement in the Metrics paragraph of a				
topical section of this [draft] ESRS, such	In addition: What about datapoints			
information is considered to be implicitly	that must not be disclosed because			
reported as "not material for the	they fall under the scope of the			
undertaking".	trade secrets directive or under			
	chapter 6.6 on classified information			
	and so on. In this case the			
	undertaking omits a datapoint but			
	the reason for the omission might			
	have nothing to do with lack of			
	materiality. It could be the case but			
	not necessarily.			



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat proposal/ comments	Action
	I don't think we should make this			
	statement in para 39.			
3.7 Level of disaggregation	SRT:		The principle of 3.7 needs to be	Does SR TEG
57. When needed for a proper understanding	The part 3.7 level of disaggregation		included. It could be further	confirm the
of its material impacts and risks, the	should be deleted (at least		simplified in the below:	Secretariat
undertaking shall disaggregate the reported	paragraph 57. (a)) or made optional			proposal?
information:	in line with proportionality principle.		57. When needed for a proper	
(a) by country, when there are significant	Disaggregation is less relevant for		understanding of its material	
variations of material impacts and risks across	LSMEs as their geographical scale is		impacts and risks, the undertaking	
countries and when presenting the	generally limited.		shall disaggregate the reported	
information at a higher level of aggregation	SDD (4		information in a way that reflects the	
would obscure material information about	SRB (1 comment):		appropriate level at which significant	
impacts and risks; or	On materiality I believe that 3.7		variations of material impacts	
(b) by significant site or by significant asset, when material impacts and risks are linked to	Level of disaggregation is too onerous and non-applicable given		and/or risks materialise, such as in specific sites.	
a specific location or asset.	that the standards regard SMEs.		specific sites.	
a specific location of asset.	Rather than copying the text from			
	large undertakings, I would suggest			
	to include just one short			
	consideration that says that only in			
	case material impacts and risks occur			
	in a specific material business of the			
	SME or in a specific material			
	geography, the SME would be			
	requested/required to mention such			
	impacts and/or risks separately			
4.1 Reporting undertaking and value chain	2 SRT comments:	Delete	LSME may also have investments in	Partially
64. When associates or joint ventures,	1) Paragraph 64 should be		associates or JV, so the general	Accepted /
accounted for under the equity method or	deleted, as it does not		principle should stay.	
proportionally consolidated in the financial	provide useful precision for		Proposed re-wording:	Does SR TEG
statements, are part of the undertaking's	LSMEs given that			agrees with
value chain, the undertaking may include	information outside the		64. When associates or joint	the EFRAG
information related to those undertakings,	financial consolidation will		ventures , accounted for under the	Secretariat
following paragraph 4, consistent with the			equity method or proportionally	proposal?

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat proposal/ comments	Action
approach adopted for the other business	be rare and small for LSMEs		consolidated in the financial	
relationships in the value chain. In this case,	in any case.		statements, are part of the	
when determining impact metrics, the data of	The standard needs to be		undertaking's value chain, the	
the associate or joint venture are not limited	consistent with the		undertaking may include information	
to the share of equity held, but taken into	accounting for the separate		related to those undertakings,	
account on the basis of the impacts that are	undertaking (separate		following paragraph 4, consistent	
directly linked to the undertaking's products	financial statements), but		with the approach adopted for the	
and services through its business	the rules are different		other business relationships in the	
relationships.	across jurisdictions. In		value chain. In this case, when	
	financial statements under		determining impact metrics, the data	
	IFRS it is possible to see		of the associate or joint venture are	
	associated entities or other		not limited to the share of equity	
	investments accounted for		held, but taken into account on the	
	at equity. However, for		basis of the impacts that are directly	
	example, in Germany, you		linked to the undertaking's products	
	will not find the "at equity		and services through its business	
	method" in separate		relationships.	
	financial statements. In			
	addition, proportional			
	consolidation is nothing you			
	will find in separate			
	financial statements of any			
	jurisdiction as this is a			
	matter for group accounting			
	only. I would drop that			
	paragraph as it is not			
	consistent to the "single			
	entity notion" of the LSME			
	standard.			
	SRB (1 comment):			
	Par 64 does not fit for purpose for			
I	the (vast majority of the) SMEs. I			
	would suggest to tailor this to the			
	typical SME's situation.			

Additional Topic to discuss at SR TEG as emerged from the LSME SRB Questionnaire - Value chain cap

- 4. The Survey results showed that the approach to the value chain cap is not clear for all SRB members.
- 5. Half of the respondents indicated that this concept in the decision tree is not clear and requires to be better explained, in particular what defines the value chain cap and on what basis it applies.
- 6. The Secretariat underlines that at the beginning of the drafting the SRB agreed to use the value chain cap as a driver for the content (as part of the decision tree). This concept is illustrated below:
 - a. The sustainability reporting standards for large undertakings shall not specify disclosures that would require undertakings to obtain information from small and medium-sized undertakings in their value chain that exceeds the information to be disclosed pursuant to the LSME ESRS (art 29b 4). We refer to this as the 'value chain cap', i.e. the disclosures in LSME ESRS determine what is the maximum detail of information that large undertakings shall be required to collect from SMEs in their value chain in order to prepare their ESRS sustainability statement.
 - b. To implement this provision, the LSME Exposure Draft has been developed in a way that preserves the integrity of the value chain information to be disclosed by large undertakings, as defined in the Delegated Act issued by the European Commission in July 2023 (in this sense it is an integral component of the decision tree).
 - c. The content of the ESRS for large undertakings issued as Delegated Act in July 2023 has been deeply scrutinised during the drafting of LSME, to separately identify the disclosures for which obtaining value chain information is deemed essential in order to fulfil the policy objectives and to meet the users' needs for the reporting of large undertakings. These datapoints have been included in LSME ESRS, in order to enable large undertaking to collect the necessary data.



Section 2 and 3 - General Disclosures and Policies, Actions, Targets, Engagement and Remediation (8 points)

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(GOV-1) – The role of the	SRB General comment G1:		To be discussed at TEG.	Discuss and agree
administrative, management and	Disclosure on G1 could be			in SRT
supervisory bodies	further simplified, by requiring		For the building blocks approach LSME has	
18. The undertaking shall disclose the	only the information required		additional requirements	
composition of the administrative,	in the draft VSME.			
management and supervisory bodies,				
their roles and responsibilities and				
access to expertise and skills with				
regard to sustainability matters.				
(SBM-1) - Strategy, business model	SRT:		To be discussed the necessity of points d)	Discuss and agree
and value chain	Par 30. (d) and (e) should be		and e)	in SRT
30. The undertaking shall disclose the	deleted as this information is			
following information about the key	covered by the disclosure			
elements of its general strategy that	requirement SBM-3 on			
relate to or affect sustainability matters:	material impacts and risks and			
	their interaction with strategy			
(d) its sustainability-related goals. If	and business model(s) as well			
applicable, in terms of significant groups	as disclosures on sustainability			
of products and services, customer	policies, actions, resources and			
	targets.			

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
categories, geographical areas and relationships with stakeholders; and (e) an assessment of its current significant products and/or services, and significant markets and customer groups, in relation to its sustainability-related goals. (SBM-1) — Strategy, business model and value chain 31. The undertaking shall disclose a description of its business model and value chain, including: (a) its inputs, outputs and outcomes (in terms of current and expected benefits for its stakeholders) (b) the main features of its upstream and downstream value chain and the undertaking's position in its value chain, including a description of the main business actors (such as key suppliers, customers distribution channels and end-users) and their relationship to the undertaking (c) the subsidiaries that are connected with material impacts and risks.	SRT: The reference to the main business actors and their relationship to the undertaking is too detailed for LSMEs, as they generally have a limited number of business partners, which may result in disclosing competitive information on suppliers or customers. SRB (1 comment): I tend to disagree with par 31 as being too complex for SMEs and not at all appreciative of their language and (lack of) complexity. In addition, they tend not to have 'subsidiaries' as under c) - so suggest to change to 'If applicable, the	31. The undertaking shall disclose a description of its business model and value chain, including: (a) its inputs, outputs and outcomes (in terms of current and expected benefits for its stakeholders); (b) the main features of its upstream and downstream value chain and the undertaking's position in its value chain including a description of the main business actors (such as key suppliers, customers distribution channels and endusers) and their relationship to the undertaking; (c) the subsidiaries that are	On SRT comment: To be discussed at TEG. Is not enough the word "key"? On SRB comment: to discuss at TEG how we can further simplify	Discuss and agree in SRT
	subsidiaries etc'.	connected with material impacts and risks.		
(SBM-2) – Interests and views of	SRT:	SRT member proposal:	On SRT comment:	Discuss and agree
stakeholders 34. When the undertaking engages with its key stakeholders, it shall disclose a	Paragraph 34 (a) should be simplified by modifying i. "the undertaking's key stakeholders	34. When the undertaking engages with its key stakeholders, it shall disclose a	Already discussed at TEG. Point c) is already "where applicable"	in SRT
summarized description of:	and their views and interests", ii. "whether and how	summarised description of its	On SRB comment:	

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(a) its stakeholders engagement,	engagement with them occurs	stakeholders engagement,	to be discussed. This reference has been	
including:	and for which categories of	including:	already included in Gov-1.	
i. the undertaking's key stakeholders;	stakeholders", and by deleting	(a) the undertaking's key		
ii. whether engagement with them	iii. to v.	stakeholders, and their views		
occurs and for which categories of	Paragraph 34 (b) should be	and interests;		
stakeholders;	moved and integrated into	(b) whether engagement with		
iii. how it is organised;	paragraph 34 (a) i. for	them occurs and for which		
iv. its purpose; and	simplification.	categories of stakeholders;		
v. how its outcome is taken into account	Paragraph 34 (c) should be			
by the undertaking;	deleted in line with the			
(b) the undertaking's understanding of	proportionality principle.			
the interests and views of its key				
stakeholders as they relate to the	SRB:			
undertaking's strategy and business	SBM-2:in my view, given the			
model(s), to the extent that these were	requirement in GOV 1, SBM-2			
analysed during the materiality	should include 'd) whether a			
assessment process (see Disclosure	governance body or individual			
Requirement IR-1 of this [draft] ESRS);	responsible for oversight of			
and	sustainability risks and impacts			
(c) where applicable, amendments to its	is is informed' - as this is an			
strategy and/or business model,	important element in the			
including:	oversight and implementation			
i. how the undertaking has amended or	of the strategy			
expects to amend its strategy and/or				
business model(s) to address the				
interests and views of its stakeholders,				
including any further steps that are				
being planned and in what timeline; and				
ii. whether these steps are likely to				
modify the relationship with and views				
of stakeholders.				
(SMB-3) - Material impacts and risks	SRT 1st comment:	SRT 1st commenter proposal:	Already discussed at TEG.	Not accepted.
and their interaction with strategy and	Paragraph 37 (a) ii. and iii.	37. The undertaking shall		
business model	should be deleted for	disclose its material impacts	Stick to approach as in Set 1	Does SR TEG
		and risks resulting from its		agrees with the

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
37. The undertaking shall disclose its	simplification in line with the	materiality assessment (see	SBM 3 is among the most important	Secretariat
material impacts and risks resulting	proportionality principle.	Disclosure Requirement IR-1 of	disclosures in ESRS.	proposal?
from its materiality assessment (see		this [draft] ESRS). The	Cutting where the impact/risk arises in	
Disclosure Requirement IR-1 of this	Paragraph 37 (c) should be	disclosure shall include the	value chain-own operations, how the	
[draft] ESRS). The disclosure shall	deleted in line with the	following:	undertaking has reacted to impacts/risks	
include the following:	proportionality principle.	(a) the undertaking's material	and the effects on strategy-business model-	
(a) the undertaking's material negative	Current effects of impacts and	negative impacts and risks,	cash flows would omit essential	
impacts and risks, including:	risks on strategy are already	including a brief description of	information.	
i. a brief description of now its material	required. Future effects at	how its material impacts affect		
impacts affect (or, in the case of	strategic level should be entity-	(or, in the case of potential		
potential impacts, are likely to affect)	specific given the reporting	impacts, are likely to affect)		
people or the environment;	complexity (and anticipated	people or the environment;		
ii. whether and how its material impacts	financial effects are already	(b) the current and anticipated		
originate from or are connected to the	required for environmental	effects of material impacts and		
undertaking's strategy and business	topics).	risks on its strategy and		
model;	. ,	decision-making as well as on		
iii. whether the undertaking is involved	Paragraph 37 (d) should be	its financial position, financial		
with the material impacts through its	merged with paragraph 37 (b)	performance and cash flows,		
activities or because of its subsidiaries	for simplification, as they both	including how the undertaking		
or other business relationships	require the effects of impacts	is responding to these effects;		
(describing the nature of the activities	and risks on strategy on one	(c) specification of those		
or business relationships concerned and	hand and on financial position,	impacts and risks that are		
where in its value chain material	performance and cashflows on	covered by Disclosure		
impacts are concentrated;	the other hand. Furthermore,	Requirements included in this		
(b) the effects of material impacts and	details of paragraph 37 (d)	[draft] ESRS as opposed to		
risks on its strategy and decision-	should be deleted for LSMEs	those covered by the		
making, including how the undertaking	(e.g., adjustment within the	undertaking using additional		
is responding to these effects. In this	next annual reporting period to	entity-specific disclosure.		
context, the undertaking shall disclose	carrying amounts of assets and			
any changes the undertaking has made,	liabilities).	SRT 3rd commenter proposal:		
or plans to make, to its strategy or		Reintroduce: "iii. the		
business model(s) as part of its actions	NB. The difference between	reasonably expected time		
to address particular material impacts	disclosure requirements SBM-3	horizons for those effects;"		
or risks;	par 37 (e) and DR in Section 4	·		
	on anticipated financial effects			

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(c) how the material risks could	is not framed explicitly, which			
reasonably be expected to have an	reduces understandability for			
influence on the undertaking's business	new reporters: SBM-3 is linked			
model, strategy, cash flows, financial	to financial effects of risks			
performance, financial position and its	material in relation to strategy			
access to finance and its cost of capital,	and business model vs. DR in			
over the short, medium or long-term	topical section on anticipated			
including:	financial effects from material			
i. the reasonably expected time	risks is linked to financial			
horizons for those financial effects; and	effects of sustainability risks			
ii. a description of where in its own	material in relation to			
operations, subsidiaries, or in its	activities, assets and liabilities?			
upstream and downstream value chain	Financial estimation of future			
material risks are concentrated.	net sustainability risks does not			
(d) the effects on the entity's	rely on mature methodology			
undertaking's financial position,	and should be removed.			
financial performance and cash flows				
for the reporting period (current	Paragraph 37 (f) should be			
financial effects), including information	deleted in line with the			
about how material impacts and risks	proportionality principle.			
have affected the undertaking's most				
recently reported financial	SRT Observer comment:			
performance, financial position and	a) The point about "reasonably			
cash flows; and the material impacts	expected time horizons" has			
and risks for which there is a significant	been removed from point (a)			
risk of a material adjustment within the	but information about the			
next annual reporting period to the	timing of material impacts			
carrying amounts of assets and liabilities	would seem important for			
reported in the related financial	users.			
statements;				
(e) the anticipated financial effects on	SRT 3rd comment:			
the undertaking's financial position,	Not clear why the requirement			
financial performance and cash flows	to describe the expected time			
over the short-, medium- and long-	horizons in which the impacts			
	on people and environment			

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
term. This may include a brief	will materialize has been	-		
description of:	deleted from the list. This is			
i. its investment and disposal plans (for	important to characterize and			
example, capital expenditure, major	understand the material			
acquisitions and divestments, joint	impact.			
ventures, business transformation,				
innovation, new business areas and	SRB (1 comment):			
asset retirements), including plans the	par 37 I know that this is			
undertaking is not contractually	aligned with the large			
committed to; and	undertakings' standards, but			
ii. its planned sources of funding to	this seems to me far too			
implement its strategy.	complex to grasp for the			
(f) changes to the material impacts and	average SME, so suggest to			
risks compared to the previous	simplify or explain in simple			
reporting period; and	terms in the AR			
(g) specification of those impacts and				
risks that are covered by Disclosure				
Requirements included in this [draft]				
ESRS as opposed to those covered by				
the undertaking using additional entity-				
specific disclosure.				
(IR-1) - Processes to identify and assess	SRT 1st comment:	SRT 1st commenter proposal:	The structure of the paragraph has to be	Does the SR TEG
material impacts and risks	Paragraph 47 (a) i. to iv. should	47. The undertaking shall	adjusted to reflect the final DA.	agrees with the
47. The undertaking shall disclose the	be deleted for simplification	disclose the following		EFRAG Secretariat?
following information:	(geographical scope of LSMEs is	information:	47 a i to iv to stay as they are already	
(a) an overview of the process(es) to	limited in relation to i.;	(a) an overview of the	optional.	
identify, assess and prioritise the	stakeholders are covered in	process(es) to identify, assess		
undertaking's potential and actual	SBM 2 in relation to iii.;	and prioritise the undertaking's	47 b i and ii to stay as they are already	
negative impacts on people and the	guidance on materiality	potential and actual negative	optional.	
environment based on their relative	assessment will address ii. and	impacts on people and the		
severity and likelihood (see [draft]	iv.) or moved to AR as points i.	environment based on their	The general approach that the EFRAG	
section 1, chapter 3.4 Impact	to iv. are voluntary.	relative severity and likelihood	Secretariat has taken to the placement of	
materiality). The undertaking may also		(see [draft] section 1, chapter	'may' is to leave the content where it is in	
include an explanation of whether and	Paragraph 47 (b) i. and ii.	3.4 Impact materiality);	Set 1, as the reconciliation with the	
how the process:	should be deleted (guidance		corresponding requirement in Set 1 is more	

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
i. focusses on specific areas due to	on materiality assessment will	(b) an overview of the process	important in a logic of building bloks than	
heightened risk of adverse impacts;	address i. and ii.) or moved to	to identify, assess and prioritise	moving content to AR.	
ii. considers the impacts with which the	AR as points i. and ii. are	risks that could be expected to		
undertaking is involved through its own	voluntary.	have financial effects (see draft	47 (d) changes from previous period is a	
operations or as a result of its business		Section 1 chapter 3.5 Financial	relevant information so it should stay.	
relationships, including its subsidiaries;	Paragraph 47 (d) should be	materiality);		
iii. include(s) consultation with affected	deleted in line with the	(c) the input parameters it uses	To be discussed the proposals in red.	
stakeholders to understand how they	proportionality principle.	(for example, data sources, the	Compared to Set 1, there are some details	Does EFRAG SR
may be impacted and with external		scope of operations covered	that are 'may' in LSME. These proposals	TEG agrees with
experts; and	SRT 2nd comment:	and the detail used in	move some of them to 'shall'.	the proposed
iv. prioritises negative impacts based on	The shall requirement of para.	assumptions).		changes in red
their relative severity and likelihood,	47 (a) should also include			(move from may to
(see [draft] Section 1 chapter. 3.4	whether and how an	SRT 2nd commenter proposal:		shall)?
Impact materiality) and determines	undertaking considers the	47. The undertaking shall		
which sustainability matters are	impacts with which the	disclose the following		
material for reporting purposes	undertaking is involved	information:		
(including the qualitative or quantitative	through its own operations or	(a) an overview of the		
thresholds and other criteria used as	as a result of its business	process(es) to identify, assess		
prescribed by Section 1 par, 3.4 Impact	relationships.	and prioritise the undertaking's		
materiality.		potential and actual negative		
(b) an overview of the process to		impacts on people and the		
identify, assess and prioritise risks that		environment, including:		
could be expected to have financial		i. how it prioritises impacts		
effects (see draft Section 1 chapter 3.5		based on their relative severity		
Financial materiality). The undertaking		and likelihood (see [draft]		
may also include a description on:		section 1, chapter 3.4 Impact		
i. how the undertaking assesses the		materiality) and determines		
likelihood, magnitude, and nature of		which sustainability matters		
effects of the identified risk (such as the		are material for reporting		
qualitative or quantitative thresholds		purposes (including the		
and other criteria used as prescribed by		qualitative or quantitative		
Section 1 chapter 3.5 Financial		thresholds and other criteria		
materiality); and		used as prescribed by Section 1		
ii. how the undertaking prioritises		par, 3.4 Impact materiality),		
sustainability-related risks relative to		and		

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
other types of risks, including its use of		ii. considers the impacts with		
risk-assessment tools.		which it is involved through its		
(c) the input parameters it uses (for		own operations or as a result		
example, data sources, the scope of		of its business relationships,		
operations covered and the detail used		including its subsidiaries.		
in assumptions);and				
(d) whether and how the process has		The undertaking may also		
changed compared to the prior		include an explanation of		
reporting period, when the process(es)		whether and how the process:		
was/were modified for the last time and		i. focusses on specific areas		
future revision dates of the materiality		due to heightened risk of		
assessment.		adverse impacts;		
		ii. considers the impacts with		
		which the undertaking is		
		involved through its own		
		operations or as a result of its		
		business relationships,		
		including its subsidiaries;		
		iii. include(s) consultation with		
		affected stakeholders to		
		understand how they may be		
		impacted and with external		
		experts; and		
		iv. prioritises negative impacts		
		based on their relative severity		
		and likelihood, (see [draft]		
		Section 1 chapter. 3.4 Impact		
		materiality) and determines		
		which sustainability matters		
		are material for reporting		
		purposes (including the		
		qualitative or quantitative		
(IR-4) – Targets in relation to	SRT 1st comment:	SRT 1st commenter proposal:	1st: MDR in AR to simplify and to have all	Discuss and agree
sustainability matters	More information should be	66. The undertaking shall	the details in one place. The idea initially	in SRT
	required to be disclosed when	disclose whether it has set	was to not include MDR for LSME	

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
66. The undertaking shall disclose	disclosing targets (target year,	targets with regards to each		
whether it has set targets with regards	value, unit, etc.). Such	material sustainability matter.	2nd: to be discussed at TEG. The TEG	
to each material sustainability matter.	information is currently	When describing its targets,	decision was to have the disclosure on	
	mentioned in AR, but it could	the undertaking shall disclose	targets only on voluntary basis. With this	
	be moved directly to main	the scope of the target, the	sentence we are going to ask more.	
	body to have all the key	unit, the target year and value,	Furthermore, this para should be read in	
	aspects in one area.	the base year and value, and	conjunction with para 67	
		what has been achieved so far.		
		SRT 2nd commenter proposal:		
		66. The undertaking shall		
		explain how the targets it has		
		set relate to its material		
		sustainability matters or why it		
		has not set a target for a		
		material sustainability matter.		
Application Regirement approach for	Comments received by SR TEG		to define a rule. turning a number of "shall"	Discuss and agree
Policies, Actions and Targets	suggesting to turn some "shall		requirements to "may"?	in SRT
	disclose" or "shall consider"			
	ARs in Policies, Actions and			
	Tergets into "may"			





Section 4 – Environment (6 points)

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
Energy intensity based on net revenue	SR TEG:		Proposal to phase-in the	Discuss and agree
11. The undertaking shall disclose the	All reconciliations with financial		reconciliations by 1 year.	in SRT
reconciliation to the relevant line item or	statements should be deleted or			
notes in the financial statements of the net	deferred for LSMEs, as they are			
revenue amount from activities in high climate	burdensome and do not provide a lot of			
impact sectors (the denominator in the	value for LSMEs.			
calculation of the energy intensity required by				
paragraph 8).	SRB (1 comment):			
	I believe the requirement in par. 11 is too			
	onerous for an SME. For this indicator as			
	for GHG emissions, I understand link to			
	SFDR, but can be calculated very quickly			
	by the user himself so to simplify take			
	this out?			
E1-2- Gross Scopes 1, 2, 3 and Total GHG	SRT:	20. The disclosure of total GHG emissions	There are many small	Discuss and agree
<u>emissions</u>	The disaggregation between location and	required by paragraph 12(d) shall be the	businesses and even individuals	in SRT
20. The disclosure of total GHG emissions	market based is not needed as it won't	sum of Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions	that buy green tariff supported	
required by paragraph 12(d) shall be the sum	be a usual practice for LSMEs to purchase	required by paragraphs 12(a) to 12(c). The	by certificates. In many cases	
of Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions required by	green electricity. It should be entity	total GHG emissions shall be derived from	the difference on Total S1+2+3	
paragraphs 12(a) to 12(c). The total GHG	specific if they have purchased green	the underlying Scope 2 GHG emissions being	is a bit irrelevant - and in others	
emissions shall be disclosed with a	electricity.	measured using the location-based method.	very relevant.	
disaggregation that makes a distinction of:			An alternative is to allow	
(a) the total GHG emissions derived from the		Secretariat proposal: "20. The disclosure of	companies to just report one	
underlying Scope 2 GHG emissions being		total GHG emissions required by paragraph	number, giving them the choice	
measured using the location-based method;		12(d) shall be the sum of Scope 1, 2 and 3	on which number they wish to	
and		GHG emissions required by paragraphs 12(a)	report, but this will reduce	
(b) the total GHG emissions derived from the		to 12(c). The undertakings shall note if the	comparability.	
underlying Scope 2 GHG emissions being		Scope 2 GHG emissions used for the total		
measured using the market-based method.		S1+2+3 emissions have been calculated		



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
		using the location or the market-based method."]	In all cases, the users of information will have the data to calculate the other number.	
			This, however, may be a contentious proposal. If it is only a report of the location-based total – it should be based on an argument that this would enhance the harmonization and comparability of those figures.	
E1-2- Gross Scopes 1, 2, 3 and Total GHG	SRT:		Proposal to phase-in the	Discuss and agree
emissions 22. The undertaking shall disclose the reconciliation to the relevant line item or notes in the financial statements of the net revenue amounts (the denominator in the calculation of the GHG emissions intensity required by paragraph 21).	All reconciliations with financial statements should be deleted or deferred for LSMEs, as they are burdensome and do not provide a lot of value for LSMEs.		reconciliations by 1 year.	in SRT
E4-1 – Impact metrics related to biodiversity and ecosystems change 53. If the undertaking has concluded that it directly contributes to the impact drivers of land-use change, freshwater-use change and/ or sea-use change, the undertaking shall report relevant metrics. The undertaking may disclose metrics that measure: (a) the conversion over time (e.g., one or five years) of land cover (e.g., deforestation or mining); (b) changes over time (e.g., one or five years) in the management of the ecosystem (e.g., through the intensification of agricultural management, or the application of better management practices or forestry harvesting);	SRT: Limiting biodiversity indicators to those that drive biodiversity impacts is insufficient. I understand the need for simplification but we should not drop the notion of invasive or alien species and ecosystem extent and condition. See proposal for a simplified version.	Add new para 54.: The undertaking may disclose additional metrics related to the introduction of invasive or alien species or the ecosystem condition and extent.	Same comment also from 2 TEG members answering the SRB survey (do not delete invasive species). It would be same as for set 1	Not accepted / inform SRT

Contont	C		C	A -4'
Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(c) changes in the spatial configuration of the				
landscape (e.g., fragmentation of habitats,				
changes in ecosystem connectivity);				
(d) changes in ecosystem structural				
connectivity (e.g., habitat permeability based				
on physical features and arrangements of				
habitat patches); and				
(e) the functional connectivity (e.g., how well				
genes or individuals move through land,				
freshwater and seascape).				
E5-2 – Resource outflows	SRB (1 comment):		Noted the difficulty of datapoint	Discuss and agree
62. The undertaking for which outflows are	in par. 62 we ask for 'expected durability		even if LSME are sophisticated	in SRT
material shall disclose:	of product against industry average'. I		SMEs, if material it would	
(a) The expected durability of the products	doubt whether this is doable for an SME		important to report, to discuss	
placed on the market by the undertaking, in	and/or results in meaningful/good		SR TEG.	
relation to the industry average for each	quality information			
product group;	. ,			
(b) The reparability of products, using an				
established rating system, where possible;				
(c) The rates of recyclable content in products				
and their packaging.				
E1-4- Anticipated financial effects from	SRB (1 comment):		Suggest to keep it as it is	Not accepted-
material physical and transition risks and	I believe that for par 29 in particular c		required by Pillar 3 (EU	inform SR TEG
potential climate-related opportunities	and d are too complicated for an SME		Datapoint).	
29. The disclosure of anticipated financial	and not really meaningful; suggest to		. ,	
effects from material physical risks required by	remove or make it optional.			
paragraph 27 (a) shall include :	'			
(a) the monetary amount and proportion				
(percentage) of assets at material physical risk				
over the short-, medium- and long-term time				
horizons; with the monetary amounts of these				
assets disaggregated by acute and chronic				
physical risk ;				



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(b) the proportion of assets at material				
physical risk addressed by the climate change				
adaptation actions;				
(c) the location of significant assets at material				
physical risk ; and				
(d) the monetary amount and proportion				
(percentage) of net revenue from its business				
activities at material physical risk over the				
short-, medium- and long-term.				

Section 5 – Social (8 points)

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
S1-1 – Characteristics of the undertaking's	SRT:	9. The disclosure required by paragraph 7	Contradicting suggestions.	To discuss and
<u>employees</u>	The description of the methodologies	shall include:		agree with the
9. The disclosure required by paragraph 7 shall	and assumptions in paragraph 9 (c)	(a) the total number of employees by head	On SRT comment:	SRT about
include:	should be deleted as it will always be the	count, and breakdowns by gender and by	To discuss with SRT the	deleting or
(a) the total number of employees by head	case for metrics. Alternatively, it should	major country;	suggestion about deleting or	moving to AR
count, and breakdowns by gender and by	be moved to AR and harmonised across	(b) the total number by full time equivalent	moving to AR "description of	"description of
country for countries in which the undertaking	all topics. The calculation options of	(FTE) or head count of:	methodologies and	methodologies
has 50 or more employees representing at	headcount or by full time equivalent	i. permanent employees;	assumptions", as well as the	and
least 10% of its total number of employees;	(FTE) may raise comparability issue.	ii. temporary employees and non-	issue of comparability by having	assumptions", as
(b) the total number by head count or full time	Moreover, there is no application	guaranteed hours employees.	the headcount and FTE options	well as the issue
equivalent (FTE) of:	guidance on these two methodologies in		for reporting.	of comparability
i. permanent employees, and breakdown by	the corresponding AR. The FTE	To be moved to AR:		by having the
gender;	methodology should be favored, and	In preparing the disclosure required by		headcount and
ii. temporary employees, and breakdown by	application guidance on the	paragraph 9. (b), the undertaking shall	On SRB comment:	FTE options for
gender; and	methodologies should be added. If the	consider reporting the number of employees	This was part of our original	reporting.
iii. non-guaranteed hours employees, and	two calculation options remain, the	in full-time equivalent (FTE). If the	simplification proposal.	
breakdown by gender.				



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(c) a description of the methodologies and assumptions used to compile the data, including whether the numbers are reported: i. in head count or full-time equivalent (FTE) (including an explanation of how FTE is defined); and ii. at the end of the reporting period, as an average across the reporting period, or using another methodology. (d) where applicable, a cross-reference of the information reported under (a) above to the most representative number in the financial statements.	disclosure of head count or FTE should be moved to AR in any case. SRB (1 comment): Do not delete: - DR S1-1 Characteristics of the undertaking's employees: (c) total number and rate of employee turnover in the reporting period in the head count	undertaking reports employees in head count, it shall disclose this to be the case. When disclosing the information required by paragraph 9. (a) and (b), the undertaking shall disclose whether the number of employees is reported at the end of the reporting period, as an average across the reporting period, or using another methodology.		
S1-6 – Training and skills development metrics 31. The undertaking shall disclose the extent to which training and skills development is provided to its employees.	SRT: The metrics on training and skills development, remuneration, and work- life balance should be merged for simplification, as they all reflect the topics of attracting and retaining talents. This proposed structure is aligned with the VSME proposal. The disclosure requirement should be renamed as follows: "Attraction and retention of employees (training and skills development, equal renumeration)". The metrics on work-life balance should be mentioned in AR only, as they are optional. A new KPI on the employee turnover should be added to illustrate the ability of the undertaking to retain talents. SRB (1 comment):	The undertaking shall provide information about its ability to attract and retain its employees, including measures on training and skills development and equal renumeration.	On SRT comment: Not to merge as they form part of different sub-topics: equal opportunities and working conditions. It would be possible to merge two of them, but the objective and AR gets confusing. What's the goal of merging? On SRB comment: Data on trainings is a common standard practice (GRI, SASB), but adding proposal for SRT to phase-in the gender breakdown.	To discuss and agree with SRT about adding back the datapoint on "employee turnover".

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
S1-6 – Training and skills development metrics 33. The disclosure required by paragraph 31 shall include the average number of training hours per employee and by gender.	General comment S1-6: this is very onerous data to collate, I don't think we should ask this from SMEs also in light of materiality SRT (1st comment): The breakdown by gender should be deleted in line with proportionality principle. The current metric related to training and skills development should be questioned in terms of relevance. The proposed average	SRT 1st comment proposal: 33. The disclosure required by paragraph 31 shall include: (a) the average number of training hours per employee; (b) the rate of employee turnover in head count in the reporting period; (c) the annual total remuneration ratio of the highest paid individual to the median	Contradicting suggestions. On 1st comment: Data on trainings is a common standard practice (GRI, SASB). Training Definition in SET 1 Annex 2, Table 2 "Initiatives put in place by the undertaking aimed at the maintenance	On 1 st comment: To discuss and agree with the SRT about phasing-in the gender breakdown. On 2 nd comment:
	number of training hours per employee is complex to monitor, and does not necessarily reflect the skills development. SRT (2 nd comment): From the text it is not clear if it is also expected that a list of names or at least	annual total compensation for all employees (excluding the highest-paid individual). SRT 2 nd comment proposal: 33. The disclosure required by paragraph 31 shall include a description of the kind of	and/or improvement of skills and knowledge of its own workers. It can include different methodologies, such as on-site training, and online training" Therefore the definition links training to skills development.	Not to require a description as well, as it goes beyond set 1. It will be resolved via implementation guidance.
	general description of the training offered is included. If it would just be hours per employee and by gender it would be hard to understand the information.	training and skills development and the average number of training hours per employee and by gender.	We simplified this DR by deleting the requirement related to performance and career development reviews; the number of hours spent in training is information companies should have. Lack or less access to training and skills development can be a factor in the gender pay gap.	
			On 2 nd comment: Hours per employee and by gender is a common standard	

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
			practice (GRI). The DR does not	
			require a list of names or a	
			general description of the	
			training offered. The statement	
			is clear: the number of training	
			hours and GRI uses the same	
			language.	
S1-8 – Remuneration metrics (pay gap and	SRT (1 comment):	SRT:	Contradicting suggestions.	To discuss and
total remuneration)	To delete 40 (a) gender pay gap.	If S1-8 is kept, it should be modified as		agree with SRT
40. The disclosure required by paragraph 36		below.	On SRT comment:	about adding that
shall include:	SRB (1 comment):	40. The disclosure required by paragraph 36	Gender pay gap is in the CSRD	when female is
(a) the gender pay gap, defined as the	DR 1-8 "Remuneration metrics".	shall include the annual total remuneration	and mandatory SFDR indicator	less than 10% it
difference of average pay levels between	(Paragraph 35 b). I am not sure this	ratio of the highest paid individual to the	12, Table 1 ("Unadjusted gender	may be biased to
female and male employees, expressed as	needs to be retained. If retained, I would	median annual total compensation for all	pay gap") and Benchmark	provide
percentage of the average pay level of male	suggest a deletion of the "ratio between	employees (excluding the highest-paid	Regulation section 1 and 2 of	contextual
employees; the gender pay gap in between	the remuneration of its highest paid	individual).	Annex 2 ("Weighted average	information.
its female and male employees expressed as	individual and the meridian		gender pay gap").	
percentage. The gender pay gap is defined as	remuneration". Otherwise, I support the			
the difference of average pay levels between	deletion of the contextual information.		On SRB comment:	
female and male employees;			"Excessive CEO pay ratio" is an	
(b) the annual total remuneration ratio of the			SFDR indicator (#8 Table 3).	
highest paid individual to the median annual				
total compensation for all employees				
(excluding the highest-paid individual).				
S1-8 – Remuneration metrics (pay gap and	SRT:		It is a voluntary datapoint. This	To discuss and
total remuneration)	Paragraph 41. should be deleted		"may" datapoint was included	agree with SRT for
41. The undertaking may disclose a breakdown			(also in set 1) for contextual	the whole LSME
of the gender pay gap as defined in paragraph			reasons. Also, SRTEG took a	standard: the
40 (a) by employee category and/or by			preliminary vote on keeping	'may' datapoints
country/segment. The undertaking may also			'may' datapoints.	location.
disclose the gender pay gap between				
employees by categories of employees broken				
down by ordinary basic salary and				
complementary or variable components.				



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
S1-9 – Incidents, complaints and severe human rights impacts 44. The objective of this Disclosure Requirement is to allow an understanding of the extent to which work-related incidents and severe cases of human rights impacts are affecting its own workforce.	SRT: The wording should be more specific.	44. The objective of this Disclosure Requirement is to allow an understanding of the extent to which work-related incidents and severe cases of human rights impacts that are reported in the reporting period are affecting its own workforce.	This suggestion would go beyond set 1. Plan to issue guidance on this regard. Good point and noted before.	To issue guidance on that regard. Also, to discuss and agree with SRT about clarifying this issue in the text of the LSME standard.
S1-9 – Incidents, complaints and severe human rights impacts 46. The undertaking shall disclose: (a) the total number of incidents of discrimination, including harassment, reported in the reporting period; (b) the total amount of material fines, penalties, and compensation for damages as a result of the incidents and complaints disclosed above, and a reconciliation of such monetary amounts disclosed with the most relevant amount presented in the financial statements.	 SRT: Paragraph 46 (a) and (b) and paragraph 47 (a) and (b) should be merged. The reconciliations of the monetary amounts of the fines with the most relevant amount presented in the financial statements should be deleted at this stage. SRT Observer: I do not recall why point (b) of ESRS Set 1 (number of complaints) has been removed? Disclosing the number of complaints does not seem a very burdensome requirement but it may provide users with important information which would not be covered by the other two points. (Also, point (c) still refers to complaints.) 	SRT proposal: 46. The undertaking shall disclose: (a) the total number of incidents of discrimination, including harassment, reported in the reporting period; (b) the total amount of material fines, penalties, and compensation for damages as a result of the incidents and complaints disclosed above; (c) the number of severe human rights incidents connected to the undertaking's workforce in the reporting period, including an indication of how many of these are cases of non-respect of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. If no such incidents have occurred, the undertaking shall state this; (d) the total amount of fines, penalties and compensation for damages for the issues and incidents described in (c) above.	 Contradicting suggestions. On SRT comment: Merging (a) and (b) won't impact taxonomy and it will make it more complex. It would then read that you only report severe cases when there's a fine or penalty and these are two separate concepts. To be discussed. Connectivity-reconciliation is in the CSRD "Statutory auditors or audit firms already verify the financial statements and the management report. The assurance of sustainability reporting by the statutory auditors or audit firms would help to ensure the connectivity between, and consistency of, financial and 	To discuss and agree with SRT about phasing-in the reconciliation of monetary amounts.



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
			sustainability information,	
			which is particularly	
			important for users of	
			sustainability information.	
			However, there is a risk of	
			further concentration of the	
			audit market, which could	
			risk the independence of	
			auditors and increase audit	
			fees or fees relating to the	
			assurance of sustainability	
			reporting".	
S1-9 – Incidents, complaints and severe	SRT:		Contradicting suggestions.	To discuss and
human rights impacts	In practice for LSME this will be limited			agree with SRT
47. The undertaking shall disclose the	so therefore not a big burden to include,		On SRB comment:	about phasing-in
following information regarding identified	and also will raise awareness on this		As a compromise, we tried to	the reconciliation
cases of severe human rights incidents (e.g.,	issue and what it could mean. Therefore		keep only EU datapoints (non-	of monetary
forced labour, human trafficking or child	in favor of leaving in it in.		SFDR that we kept was the	amounts.
labour):			reconciliation of monetary	
(a) the number of severe human rights	SRB (1 comment):		amounts).	
incidents connected to the undertaking's	S1-9 par 47: we are asking too much here			
workforce in the reporting period, including an	from an SME, this is not within their		S1-9 is in SFDR Indicator #10	
indication of how many of these are cases of	capabilities and resources		Table 1 Annex 1 ("Violations of	
non-respect of the UN Guiding Principles on			OECD Guidelines for	
Business and Human Rights, ILO Declaration on			Multinational Enterprises or the	
Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work or			UN Guiding Principles including	
OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.			the principles and rights set out	
If no such incidents have occurred, the			in the eight fundamental	
undertaking shall state this; and			conventions identified in the ILO	
(b) the total amount of fines, penalties and			Declaration and the	
compensation for damages for the issues and			International Bill of Human	
incidents described in (a) above, and a			Rights"); except for	
reconciliation [TO BE DISCUSSED] of the			reconciliation of monetary	
monetary amounts disclosed in the most			amounts.	
relevant amount in the financial statements.				



Section 6 – Business conduct (4 points)

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat	Action
			preliminary view	
G1-1 – Management of relationships with suppliers	SRT:	Delete G1-1	Already discussed at	Discuss and
4. The undertaking shall provide information about the management of its	G1-1 appears more		TEG and agreed during	agree in SRT
relationships with its suppliers and its impacts on its supply chain.	relevant for large		the drafting session.	
	undertakings than for		This DR was already	
	LSMEs given their limited		simplified compared	
	scope and weight in		with Set 1.	
	business relationships		Secretariat proposal	
	compared to that of large		to maybe delete part	
	undertakings. Their		about impacts on	
	customer power is very		supply chain?	
	limited.			
G1-3 – Political influence and lobbying activities	SRT:	Add "if any"	Already discussed at	Discuss and
10. The undertaking shall provide information on the activities and commitments related to	Are really LSMEs in a		TEG and agreed during	agree in SRT
exerting its political influence, including its lobbying activities related to its material impacts,	position to exert political		the drafting session.	
risks and (opportunities).	influence ?		Perhaps "if any" could	
			be added.	
			Furthermore this	
			requirements is	
			included in CSRD Art.	
			29 b (2) provisions	
G1-2 –Anti-corruption and anti-bribery		SRT:	Already discussed at	Discuss and
9. The undertaking shall disclose:		Add "If the undertaking has	TEG and agreed during	agree in SRT
(a) whether it has assessed the effectiveness of actions taken to address breaches in		put in place such an anti-	the drafting session.	
procedures and standards of anti-corruption and anti-bribery;		corruption system, it shall	Perhaps "if" could be	
(b) the number of convictions and the amount of fines for violation of anti-corruption and		disclose:	added for the actions,	
anti-bribery laws .			as reported below "(b)	
			any actions, if any,	
			taken to address	
			breaches in	
			procedures and	



Content				Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat	Action
					•	preliminary view	
						standards of anti-	
						corruption and anti-	
						bribery."	
G1-2 - Anti - corruption and	anti – bribery			SRT:	Delete.	already discussed at	Discuss and
AR 5. The undertaking may pr	resent the required in	formation about tra	ining on its anti-bribery	Far too detailed for LSME.		TEG and during the	agree in SRT
and corruption policies using	the following table:					drafting session. It is	
Anti-corruption and bribery t	training illustrative ex	rample				an illustrative example	
During the 20XY financial year	ABC provided training	to its at-risk own work	ers in terms of its policy			on how an	
(see note x) Details of its train	ning during the year is a					undertaking can	
	Managers	Other				disclose the	
		employees				information. The	
Training coverage						intention of this table	
Total	5	50				is to provide guidance	
Total receiving training	3	42				on how undertakings	
Total hours of training	6	84				can disclose this	
Frequency						information.	
How often training is required	Annually	Annually				iiiioiiiiatioii.	

To inform the SR TEG (per LSME section)

Section 1 (3 points)

(
Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat comments	Action
Objective:	Objective:		To be checked. Not sure that it is	to be clarified
2. In scope of LSME ESRS are the following	In 2(a), I am finding it difficult		applicable for LSME ESRS. Reference	with the
undertakings, together and hereafter the	to make the link between		only to art. 29 ter.	author
"LSME" or "undertaking":	Article 4(5) of the amended TD		Check with the EC	
(a) small and medium-sized undertakings,	and the requirement for third			
which are public-interest entities according to	country LSMEs to report			
point (a) of point (1) of article 2 of Directive	sustainability information.			



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat comments	Action
2013/34/EU and which are not micro-		·		
undertakings as defined in Article 3(1) of that				
Directive. According to Art. 4(5) of the				
Transparency Directive (as amended by the				
CSRD), this also includes third country listed				
SMEs;				
(b) small non - complex credit institutions				
defined in point (145) of Article 4(1) of				
Regulation (EU) No 575/2013;				
(c) captive insurance undertakings defined in				
point (2) of Article 13 of Directive				
2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and				
of the Council ; and				
(d) captive reinsurance undertakings defined				
in point (5) of Article 13 of that same				
Directive.				
3.2 Material matters and materiality of	To clarify that the materiality	Performing a materiality assessment (see sections 3.4	We would propose to include in the	Accepted /
information	assessment refers to the	Impact materiality and 3.5 Financial materiality) is	glossary a specification that	Inform SRT
28. Performing a materiality assessment (see	assessment of impacts and	necessary for the undertaking to identify the material		
sections 3.4 and 3.5 of this [draft] Standard) is	risks on a gross basis rather	gross impacts and risks to be reported. Unless specified	Unless specified otherwise, the terms	
necessary for the undertaking to identify the	than on a net basis. Although it	otherwise, the terms "impacts and risks" are used	"impacts and risks" are used	
material impacts and risks to be reported.	is clearly written in the	throughout ESRS to refer to the gross impacts and risks.	throughout ESRS to refer to the gross	
When an undertakings reports on its material	indicators that shall be	When an undertakings reports on its material positive	impacts and risks.	
positive impacts and/or opportunities on a	communicated, it is not	impacts and/or opportunities on a voluntary basis, the		
voluntary basis, the materiality assessment	explicitly stated in the	materiality assessment will as well cover them.	This will deviate from Set 1 but we	
will as well cover them.	materiality assessment.		think it clarifies.	
3.2 Material matters and materiality of	The optional disclosure of a	34. When reporting on policies and actions in	It is already an optional.	Not accepted
<u>information</u>	timeframe should be deleted	relation to a sustainability matter that has been		/ inform SRT
34. When reporting on policies and actions in	for simplification in line with	assessed to be material, if the undertaking cannot	If a plan exists it is a relavant	
relation to a sustainability matter that has	the proportionality principle. It	disclose the information prescribed by the Disclosure	information to be discloses	
been assessed to be material, if the	should be entity-specific for	Requirements in section 3 of this [draft] ESRS (including		
undertaking cannot disclose the information	LSMEs.	their datapoints) on policies and actions, because it has		
prescribed by the Disclosure Requirements in		not implemented the respective policies and actions, it		
section 3 of this [draft] ESRS (including their		shall disclose this to be the case.		

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat comments	Action
datapoints) on policies and actions, because it				
has not implemented the respective policies				
and actions, it shall disclose this to be the				
case and it may report a timeframe in which it				
aims to have these in place.				

Section 2 and 3 (3 points)

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(GOV-1) – The role of the	SR TEG:	18. The undertaking shall	Stick to approach taken in Set 1.	Not accepted /
administrative, management	The wording "administrative,	disclose the composition of the		inform SR TEG
and supervisory bodies	management and supervisory	governance bodies, their roles		
18. The undertaking shall	bodies" could be replaced with	and responsibilities and access		
disclose the composition	"governance bodies" for	to expertise and skills with		
of the administrative,	simplification for LSMEs.	regard to sustainability matters.		
management and	Not all LSMEs will have such	matters.		
supervisory bodies, their	granular governance bodies.			
roles and responsibilities				
and access to expertise				
and skills with regard to				
sustainability matters.				
(GOV-2) - Due diligence	SRT:	22. The undertaking shall	Already discussed at TEG.	Not accepted /
22. The undertaking shall	Suggest to add here again the	disclose whether it has		inform SRT
disclose whether it has	reference to the UN Guiding	adopted a due diligence	Probably too complex for SME to ask this	
adopted a due diligence	Principles and OECD-guidelines	process with regard to	information	
process with regard to	to also direct companies to	sustainability matters and if it		
sustainability matters.	these international guidelines	has followed the International		
		Guidelines of the UNGP's and		
		the OECD-guidelines.		
Tables in LSME AR part with	Comments from SRT and SRB		The tables includ the EU datapoints	Not accepted /
EU datapoints	suggesting that the reason of		stemming from the topical ESRS for which	inform SR TEG
	the tables is not clear and the		the decision was to centralise all the	



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
	relation with the other		contents in section 3 and to keep in the	
	requirements		topical section only the metrics.	
			For clarity it will be identified as 'EU datapoints module'.	
			As some of these datapoints are also essential for the entry-level module (step1), there are some duplications that were identified as such.	
			The purpose was to give the possibility to easy recognise those requirements.	

Section 4 (4 points)

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
Energy intensity based on net revenue	SR TEG:		Cannot be deleted (EU	Not accepted /
8. The undertaking shall provide information on the energy intensity (total energy consumption per net revenue) associated with activities in high climate impact sectors.	The disclosure of energy intensity based on net revenue does not provide high value for LSMEs given their limited size and proportion in the portfolios (for financial stakeholders) and given the technical limits of such KPI from an		datapoint). This is an SFDR datapoint indicator #6 Table 1 of Annex 1 "Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector"	inform SRT
	environmental perspective (for other stakeholders). These data points should be deleted.			
Energy intensity based on net revenue 10. The undertaking shall specify the high climate impact sectors that are used to determine the energy intensity required by paragraph 8.	This paragraph should be deleted. LSMEs are usually operating in one business segment only. There is no need to split between high impact and low impact.		Cannot delete, info needed for understanding par.9 SFDR datapoint	Not accepted / inform SRT



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
E1-3 – GHG removals and GHG mitigation	SRT 1 st comment:	-	1st comment: Cannot be deleted	1st comment: Not
projects financed through carbon credits	The disclosure requirement on GHG		due to decision tree (value chain	accepted / inform
23. The undertaking shall disclose any GHG	removals and GHG mitigation should be		cap). GHG removals is value	SRT
removals and GHG mitigation projects it may	deleted, as it is very rare for LSMEs and		chain sensitive. Also see	
have financed through any purchase of carbon	therefore not highly relevant.		comments above on use of	2 nd comment:
credits.			market mechanism by SMEs	inform SRT
	SRT 2 nd comment:			
	The way this requirement is phrased is		2 nd comment: this paragraph is	
	very confusing.		to know how much mitigation	
	What is the intent? Do you expect		(abatement or removals) has	
	disclosure on removals as well as carbon		been financed through carbon	
	credits (as in Set 1)? Or is the LSME		credit purchases. Only problem	
	limited to carbon credits?		perhaps is that removals is also	
			mitigation, so there is an	
	If both are to be reported, which I think		ambiguity there. Maybe it can	
	should be the case, use the text from set		be rephrased to "23. The	
	1.		undertaking shall report any	
			carbon credits it has used to	
	If only one is to be reported please clarify		finance GHG abatement or CO2	
	which.		removals by other	
			organizations."	
E4-1 – Impact metrics related to biodiversity	SRT:		Optional, but part of decision	Not accepted /
and ecosystems change	Paragraph 51 should be moved to AR as		tree as it is a value chain	inform SRT
51. If the undertaking has identified material	it is optional.		sensitive datapoint	
impacts with regards to land-use change, or				
impacts on the extent and condition of				
ecosystems, it may also disclose their land-use				
based on a Life Cycle Assessment.				



Section 5 (3 points)

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
<u>Objective</u>	SRT 1 st comment:	1. The objective of this [draft] Section is to	1 st comment: To inform of other	Addressed /
1. The objective of this [draft] Section is to	- Paragraph 1. (b) should be modified by	specify disclosure requirements which will	two suggestions that were not	inform SRT
specify disclosure requirements which will	deleting the reference to the result of	enable users of the sustainability statement	taken on board: (1) deleting the	
enable users of the sustainability statement to	such actions, as such result may be	to understand:	reference to "result of the	
understand:	difficult to catch in the reporting period	(a) how the undertaking affects its own	actions" from the objective, (2)	
(a) how the undertaking affects its own	given that actions are likely to be	workforce, affected communities and	deleting the reference to	
workforce, workers in its value chain, affected	developed in a longer period of time.	consumers and end-users; in terms of	"financial statements" from the	
communities and consumers and end-users; in	Moreover, the "results of actions taken"	material negative actual or potential	objective.	
terms of material negative actual or potential	may be judgemental.	impacts;		
impacts;	- Paragraph 1. (d) should be removed in	(b) any actions taken to prevent, mitigate or	2 nd comment:	
(b) any actions taken, and the result of such	line with proportionality principle, as	remediate actual or potential negative	Agree with the Objective	
actions, to prevent, mitigate or remediate	LSMEs may not be able to disclose	impacts, and to address risks;	Review: reference only to own	
actual or potential negative impacts, and to	reliable information on that topic given	(c) the nature, type and extent of the	workforce.	
address risks;	the reporting complexity. It should be	undertaking's material risks on its own		
(c) the nature, type and extent of the	asked in a future version of the LSME	workforce, affected communities and		
undertaking's material risks on its own	ESRS.	consumers and end-users; and how the		
workforce, workers in its value chain, affected		undertaking manages them.		
communities and consumers and end-users;	SRT 2 nd comment:			
and how the undertaking manages them;	Main concern is that I do not understand			
(d) the financial effects on the undertaking	at all how the section adresses the S2-S4			
over the short-, medium- and long-term time	content. There are sections in the AR that			
horizons of material risks arising from the	refer to paras 5 to 62 (or 8 to 43) but I			
undertaking's impacts and dependencies on its	think these are designed for own			
own workforce, workers in its value chain,	workforce.			
affected communities and consumers and end-				
users.				
<u>Objective</u>	SRT:		Paragraph is aligned with set 1.	Not accepted /
2. These [draft] Disclosure Requirements also	Paragraph 2. is a new paragraph that		It applies as we have financial	inform SRT
require the provision of an explanation by the	should be deleted for simplification. It is		materiality. Agree that it is not	
undertaking of how such impacts, as well as	covered by paragraph 1. (c). Moreover,		directly applicable for S2-S4.	
the undertaking's dependencies on its own	risks other than financial effects seem			
workforce, workers in the value chain, affected	too complex for LSMEs at this stage.			
communities and consumers and end-users				



Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
can create material risks for the undertaking				
(other than financial effects?).				
Voluntary Disclosure S1-11 – Work-life	SRT:		This suggestion goes beyond set	Not accepted /
balance metrics	It seems without an additional		1.	inform SRT
53. The undertaking may disclose:	descriptive requirement on b) it would			
(a) the percentage of employees entitled to	be hard to judge the information. For			
take family-related leave; and	example if none of the employees were			
(b) the percentage of entitled employees that	expecting babies, or had people ill to			
took family-related leave, and a breakdown by	take care off. This would not provide			
gender.	valuable input. Suggest to explicitly add			
	that an explanation to a and b to be able			
	to understand the context may be given.			

Section 6 (1 point):

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
G1-3 – Political influence and lobbying	SRT:	11. The disclosure required by paragraph 10	It could be further simplified in	Partially accepted
<u>activities</u>	The aggregation by country and	shall include:	regards to geographical	/ inform SRT
11. The disclosure required by paragraph 10	geographical area should be deleted as it	(a) if applicable, the representative(s)	simplification, without deleting	
shall include:	is less relevant for LSMEs that are	responsible in the administrative,	political contributions	
(a) if applicable, the representative(s)	generally geographically limited.	management and supervisory bodies for the	nonetheless. Secretariat	
responsible in the administrative, management	The disclosure of how the monetary	oversight of these activities;	proposal: 11. The disclosure	
and supervisory bodies for the oversight of	value of in-kind contributions is	(b) if applicable, the total monetary value of	required by paragraph 10 shall	
these activities;	estimated should be deleted for	financial and in-kind political contributions	include:	
(b) for financial or in-kind political	simplification.	made directly and indirectly by the	(a) if applicable, the	
contributions:		undertaking; and	representative(s) responsible in	
i. the total monetary value of financial and in-	SRB (1 comment):	(c) the main topics covered by its lobbying	the administrative, management	
kind political contributions made directly and	In my view DR G1-3 is of non-relevance	activities and the undertaking's main	and supervisory bodies for the	
indirectly by the undertaking. The undertaking	for SMEs: they are too small to seriously	positions on these in brief.	oversight of these activities;	
may disclose an aggregation by country or	influence and many of them will not be		(b) for financial or in-kind	
geographical area where relevant, as well as	engaged at all in light of this low power		political contributions:	
type of recipient/beneficiary; and	of influence.		i. the total monetary	
ii. where appropriate, how the monetary value			value of financial and in-kind	
of in-kind contributions is estimated.			political contributions made	

[draft] LSME V3.1 SR TEG and SRB Summary of detailed comments

Content	Comment	Proposed new draft	Secretariat preliminary view	Action
(c) the main topics covered by its lobbying			directly and indirectly by the	
activities and the undertaking's main positions			undertaking. The undertaking	
on these in brief.			may disclose an aggregation by	
			country or geographical area	
			where relevant, as well as type	
			of recipient/beneficiary; and	
			ii. where appropriate,	
			how the monetary value of in-	
			kind political contributions is	
			estimated.	
			(c) the main topics covered	
			by its lobbying activities and the	
			undertaking's main positions on	
			these in brief.	

