

EFRAG FR TEG meeting 15 September 2023 Paper 04-03 EFRAG Secretariat: Didrik Thrane-Nielsen, Robert Stojek

This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a public meeting of EFRAG FR TEG. The paper forms part of an early stage of the development of a potential EFRAG position. Consequently, the paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG FRB or EFRAG FR TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in the EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions, as approved by the EFRAG FRB, are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers, or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON The Amendments to IAS 21 The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates: Lack of Exchangeability

Once filled in, this form should be submitted by [7 December 2023] using the 'Comment publication link' available at the bottom of the respective news item. All open consultations can be found on EFRAG's web site: Open consultations: express your views.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the amendments to IAS 21 *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates*: Lack of Exchangeability ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Your details

Piea	se provide the following details:
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:
(b)	Are you a:
	Preparer User Other (please specify)
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:

Amendments to IAS 21 Lack of Exchangeability Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

	(d)	Country where you are located:
	(e)	Contact details, including e-mail address:
EFR/	\G's ini	tial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement
2	endo fair v lead	G's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical criteria for rsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the principle of true and iew and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability, comparability, and to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the accompanying a Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of the Amendments.
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
		Yes No
		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?
The I	Europe	an public good
3	consi	assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, EFRAG has dered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft</i> r to the European Commission regarding endorsement of the Amendments.
mpr	oveme	nt in financial reporting
4	cond impro chan sumr	G has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is ucive to the European public good it should consider whether the Amendments are an evement over current requirements across the areas which have been subject to ges (see Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). To narise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments are likely to improve the ty of financial reporting.
	Do yo	ou agree with the assessment?
	Y	es No

Amendments to IAS 21 Lack of Exchangeability Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

	If you do not agree, please provide your arguments, and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Costs	and benefits
5	EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.
	The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that for preparers the Amendments are likely to result in the cost savings related to ongoing costs what will outweigh the one-off implementation costs, and for users the Amendments are likely to result in the cost savings related to ongoing costs what will outweigh the one-off implementation costs.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	Yes No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
6	In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendments. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that that while preparers are less likely to benefit from the Amendments, as for some preparers there will be costs relating to the initial implementation of the Amendments, preparers will also benefit from the increased guidance and reduction in divergence.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments, and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
7	EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU, as described in paragraph 6 above, are likely to outweigh the costs involved, as described in paragraph 5 above.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments, and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

6

7

Amendments to IAS 21 Lack of Exchangeability Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

Othe	er factors
8	EFRAG has not identified any other factors that would mean endorsement is not conducive to the public good.
	Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Over	rall assessment with respect to the European public good
9	EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>).
	Do you agree with this conclusion?
	Yes No