

# ISSB Agenda consultation

EFRAG FR TEG and CFSS meeting

4 July 2023





## Overview and timeline

## Overview

- Strategic direction and balance of ISSB activities
- Selection criteria for new projects
- New research and standard-setting projects
- New research and standard-setting projects: biodiversity, ecosystems and ecosystems services
- New research and standard-setting projects: human capital
- New research and standard-setting projects: human rights
- Integration in reporting

# Timeline



- EFRAG User Panel
- Joint outreach with ISSB
- DRSC public event
- EFRAG CFSS
- Community outreach
- 21/22 August SR and FR TEG
- 28/29 August SRB and FRB



## Strategic direction and balance of ISSB activities

## Strategic direction and balance of ISSB's activities

- Rank proposed activities from highest to lowest priority
- Explain your reasons for ranking
- Other activities?
- ISSB to publicise overall direction of travel in sustainability reporting
- Provide insight in timetable needed to develop a full set of standards
- Priorities as defined by EFRAG:
  - New research and standard-setting, including connectivity
  - Supporting implementation of IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 + research targeted amendments to them
  - Enhance SASB standards, sector approach recommended
- No other activities identified.



## Selection criteria for new projects

## Selection criteria for new projects

- Does ISSB identify the right criteria?
- Any other criteria to be considered?
- Criterion of interoperability to be added
- With regard to the criterion « importance of the matter to investors », include investors' interest in impact materiality





New research and standard-setting

## New research and standard setting

- New projects considered:
  - Biodiversity, ecosystems and ecosystem services
  - Human capital
  - Human rights
  - Integration in reporting
- Which project(s) to prioritise?
- EFRAG does not put forward a prioritisation
  - EFRAG develops the ESRS under a comprehensive coverage in accordance with the CSRD
- Interoperability and synergies with other standard-setting initiatives can drive priority



New research and standard-setting – biodiversity, ecosystems  
and ecosystems services

## New research and standard-setting: biodiversity, ecosystems and ecosystems services (BEES)

- As part of the proposed scope of BEES, which subtopics are more important?
- Are sustainability risks and opportunities the same/different across business models?
- Provide a list of materials to be considered.
- EFRAG disagrees with the catch-all approach to the scope description
- We suggest to consider [draft] ESRS Biodiversity and Ecosystems
- Alternatively, rename to Nature and align with TNFD
- Border between subtopics and sub-subtopics of sustainability matters represents dividing line between agnostic and sector-specific area



New research and standard-setting – human capital

## New research and standard-setting : human capital

- As part of the proposed scope of human capital, which subtopics are more important?
- Are sustainability risks and opportunities the same/different across business models?
- Provide a list of materials to be considered.
- Support for inclusion of social topics in scope
- Intrinsic linkage between human capital and human rights > unbundling could extend misunderstandings on the inter-relationship
- Alignment with ESRS S1 for interoperability reasons
- Border between subtopics and sub-subtopics of sustainability matters represents dividing line between agnostic and sector-specific area



New research and standard-setting: human rights

## New research and standard-setting: human rights

- As part of the proposed scope of human rights, which subtopics are more important?
- Are sustainability risks and opportunities the same/different across business models?
- Provide a list of materials to be considered.
- Considering importance of human rights to value creation:
  - Adopt a clear architecture aligned with the ESRS framework
  - Follow the OECD Guidelines and UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights





## Integration in reporting

## Integration in reporting

- How to prioritise integration in reporting vis-à-vis other projects?
- Should it be a joint project with IASB?
- Should the project:
  - Include concepts from IASB's ED on Management Commentary?
  - Integrated Reporting Framework?
  - Other?
- Connectivity (not integration in reporting) is a high priority
- Connectivity is a foundational and strategic activity for both ISSB and IASB
- A joint project with IASB has both advantages and disadvantages
- EFRAG recommends to incorporate concepts from both Management Commentary and Integrated Reporting Framework



Follow us

Follow us:



35 Square de Meeûs, B-1000 Brussels  
[info@efrag.org](mailto:info@efrag.org) - [www.efrag.org](http://www.efrag.org)



*EFRAG is co-funded by the European Union and EEA and EFTA countries. The contents of EFRAG's work and the views and positions expressed are however the sole responsibility of EFRAG and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union (DG FISMA). Neither the European Union nor DG FISMA can be held responsible for them.*

**Thank you!**