

## **EFRAG SECRETARIAT ANALYSIS OF THE INDIVIDUAL DRS**

## ESRS S2 - SUMMARY

DR	DR DESCRIPTION	Overal support rate (average across cat- egories)	Key outcome of the consultation	Reference to the CSRD paragraph that requires it	ESRS 2 including AGs support a fair representation of the topic required by the CSRD in cl. characteristics of quality ?	Relevant for major- ity of undertaking across the sectors?	Promoting align- ment with interna- tional standards?	Operational complexity ?	TO BE ALWAYS MA- TERIAL	POSSIBLE SIMPLIFICATION	PHASE IN REC- COMENDATION
52-1	Policies related to value chain workers	59	Key Feedback - Alignment with EU and international frameworks /initia- tives - Definition adjust- ment /rephrasing re- quired - Phasing-in / priori- tization - Reduce complexity - Questionable cost- benefit ratio  Support from - AR/I - ESG - NGO  Reservation from - NFC-Out - FIO - CO - BA		75% RAR	68% RAR Opposition from - CO - FIB - NFC	52% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC FII - FIB	1) Not fully in line with international due diligence standards 2) Disclosing and summarising human rights policies that companies have in place in relation to material impacts, risks and opportunities is common practice. Normal operational burden expected.  Fine-tuning of defintions and alignement with international instruments of DD.	Yes	Clarification rather than simplification Fully align with UNGP and OECD Due Diligence Guidance	No phase-in; CSRD already allows for longer timeframe in the case of missing value chain information.  50% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC-Out - FIO - CO - BA
S2-2	Processes for engag- ing with value chain workers about im- pacts	58	Key Feedback - Alignment with EU and international frameworks /initia- tives - Digitisation re- quirements		73% RAR	75% RAR	55% RAR Opposition from - NFC-On (0%) - FII (0%) - FIB (0%)	1) Not fully in line with international due diligence standards 2) This is a critical step of an undertaking's human rights due diligence	Yes	Clarification rather than simplification 1) Fully align with UNGP and OECD Due Diligence Guidance 2) Where possible, consider including	No phase-in; CSRD already allows for longer timeframe in the case of missing value chain infor- mation.



			- Definition adjustment /rephrasing required - Reduce complexity - Disaggregation of disclosure requirement  Support from - AR/I - ESG - TU  Reservation from - NFC-Out - FII - UNFC				process. Engaging value chain workers might require additional efforts compared to engaging the undertaking's own workforce. However, the requirement is to report on general processes, rather than overly detailed information. Normal operational burden expected.  Fine-tuning of defintions and alignement with international instruments of DD.		additional practical examples in AG.	50% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC-Out - FII
52-3	Channels for value chain workers to raise concerns	68	Key Feedback - Alignment with EU and international frameworks /initia- tives - Digitisation re- quirements - Definition adjust- ment /rephrasing re- quired - Disaggregation of disclosure require- ment - Phasing-in / priori- tization  Support from - AR/I - TU - NGO  Reservation from - NFC-On - FII - BA - UNFC	75% RAR	76% RAR	N/A	1) Not fully in line with international due diligence standards 2) The DR asks undertakings to provide information on channels they have in place for value chain workers to raise concerns; it does not prescribe setting up such channels. Assessing value chain workers' trust in these processes might may be challenging at times, but is aligned with due diligence.  Fine-tuning of defintions and alignement with international instruments of DD.	Yes	Clarification rather than simplification  Fully align with UNGP and OECD Due Diligence Guidance 2) Where possible, include additional practical examples in AG.	No phase-in; CSRD already allows for longer timeframe in the case of missing value chain information.  57% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - Fil - BA



52-4	Targets related to managing material negative impacts, advancing positive impacts, and managing material risks and opportunities	58	Key Feedback - Alignment with EU and international frameworks /initia- tives - Quantitative indica- tors - Digitisation re- quirements - Definition adjust- ment /rephrasing re- quired - Disaggregation of disclosure require- ment - Phasing-in / priori- tization - Guidance for re- porting - Data accessibility (inc value chain data)  Support from - AR/I - NGO - ESG  Reservation from - NFC-Out - FII - UNFC	77% RAR	78% RAR	47% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC-Out - FIO - FII - FIB	1) Not fully in line with international due diligence guidance 2) The DR requires the disclosure of targets undertakings have in place, if any; it does not prescribe the disclosure of specific targets. The DR stems from the CSRD, which requires undertakings to report on targets related to sustainability matters. Normal operational burden expected.  Fine-tuning of defintions and alignement with international instruments of DD.	Yes	Clarification rather than simplification  1) Fully align with UNGP and OECD Due Diligence Guidance 2) The DR should be read in connection with DP1-2 in ESRS 1. Consider including clearer reference in in AG to this,	No phase-in; CSRD already allows for longer timeframe in the case of missing value chain information.  41% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC-Out - FIO - FII - BA
\$2-5	Taking action on ma- terial impacts on value chain workers and effectiveness of those actions	62	Key Feedback - Alignment with EU and international frameworks /initia- tives - Digitisation re- quirements - Definition adjust- ment /rephrasing re- quired - Disaggregation of disclosure require- ment  Support from - AR/I	76% RAR	82% RAR	49% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC-Out - FII - FIB	Not fully in line with international due diligence standards Fine-tuning of defintions and alignement with international instruments of DD.	Yes	Clarification and sim- plification  1) Align fully with UNGP and OECD Due Diligence Guidance	No phase-in; CSRD already allows for longer timeframe in the case of missing value chain infor- mation.  52% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC-Out - FII - BA



			- NGO - TU Reservation from - NFC-Out - FII - FIB - BA							
S2-6	Approaches to mitigating material risks and pursuing material risks rial opportunities related to value chain workers	56	Key Feedback - Alignment with EU and international frameworks /initia- tives - Digitisation re- quirements - Definition adjust- ment /rephrasing re- quired - Disaggregation of disclosure require- ment - Phasing-in / priori- tization - Architecture of So- cial standards  Support from - AR/I - ESG - TU  Reservation from - UNFC - Other - NFC-Out - FII - BA	63% RAR Opposition from - NFC-Out - NFC-On - BA	68% RAR Opposition from - NFC-Out - BA - NFC-On	49% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - NFC-Out - FII - FIB	1) Not fully in alignement with international due diligence standards 2) The DR requires the disclosure of the actions of an undertaking to mitigate risks and advance opportunities. It does not prescribe the implementation of specific actions. Normal operational complexity expected.  This is a financial materiality disclosure with no equivalent international frameworks. No further actions.	Yes	No further actions	No phase-in; CSRD already allows for longer timeframe in the case of missing value chain information.  42% RAR Opposition from - UNFC - Other - NFC-Out - FII - BA



## **ESRS S2 – DETAILED NOTES TO THE TABLE**

Abbreviation Responders	Original
AR/I	Academic / research institution
AFPF	Audit firm, assurance provider and/or accounting firm
BA	Business association
СО	Consumer organization
ESG	ESG reporting initiatives
EUC	EU Citizen
FIB	Financial institution (Bank)
FII	Financial institution (Insurance)
FIO	Financial institution (Other financial Market participant, including pension funds and other asset manag-
	ers)
NaSaSe	National Standard Setter
NFC-On	Non-financial corporation with securities listes on EU regulated markets
NFC-Out	Non-financial corporation with securities listes outside EU regulated markets
NGO	Non governmental organization
Other	
PARS	Public authority/regulator/supervisor
RAA	Rating agency and analysist
TU	Trade union or other workers representatives
UNFC	Unlisted non-financial corporations

Abbreviation Questions	Context
Α	Requires relevant information



	about the sustainability matter covered
В	Requires information that is
	relevant for all sectors (sector-agnostic only information)
С	Can be verified / assured
D	Meets the other objectives of the
	CSRD in term of quality of information
E	Reaches a reasonable cost / benefit balance
F	Is sufficiently consistent with
	relevant EU policies and other EU legislation
G	Is as aligned as possible to
	international sustainability standards given the CSRD requirements
Н	Represent information that must
	be prioritised in first year of implementation
I	Is well suited to be transformed in a digital reporting taxonomy
	that will avoid creating misunderstandings or practical complexities