

This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a public meeting of EFRAG SRB. The paper forms part of an early stage of the development of a potential EFRAG position. Consequently, the paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG SRB or EFRAG SR TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in the EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions, as approved by the EFRAG SRB, are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers, or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

ESRS: Reporting at consolidated level – new text of the CSRD (Subsidiary exemption)

Objective

- 1 The objective of this paper is to consider the changes to ESRS 1 that are needed in order to incorporate the changes to the final CSRD when reporting at consolidated level.

Background

- 2 Similar to financial reporting, sustainability statements can be on a consolidated basis. The CSRD grants the subsidiary exemption for those subsidiaries that are included in the consolidated sustainability reporting of a controlling entity. The controlling entity is referred to as *parent company*.
- 3 In accounting, consolidation is the elimination of inter-company relationships to present the parent company and its subsidiaries in the financial statements as if they were one entity. So, consider two identical undertakings, except one being organised in only one legal entity, and the other being organized in a group structure that involves many subsidiaries (separate legal entities). After consolidation, the financial statements of the latter (organized in a group) will be identical to the former.
- 4 CSRD Art 29a para 3a provides for (for recitals and full text see Appendix I):
*“Where the reporting undertaking identifies **significant differences** between the risks or impacts of the group and the risks or impacts of one or more its subsidiaries, the undertaking shall provide an adequate understanding of the risks and impacts, as appropriate, of the subsidiary or subsidiaries concerned.”*
- 5 In an SR TEG meeting on 20 June it was agreed that some guidance on qualitative aspects/triggers should be developed and if possible, some illustrative examples for the November 2022 deadline.

Current principle in ED ESRS 1

- 6 ED ESRS 1 para 128 - 129 in chapter 4.9 “Consolidated reporting and subsidiary exemption” are as follows:
128 “When the undertaking is reporting at a consolidated level and one or more of its subsidiaries is using the subsidiary exemption of the CSRD the undertaking shall perform its assessment of material impacts, risks and opportunities for the entire consolidated group, regardless of its group legal structure, making sure that all subsidiaries are covered in a way that allows for the unbiased identification of material impacts, risks and opportunities.

- 129 Criteria and thresholds for assessing an impact, risk or opportunity as material shall be determined based on chapter **Error! Reference source not found..**”

Proposal for re-drafting – as presented to SR TEG

- 7 When drafting para 128 of ESRS 1 the PTF considered that the assessment of impacts, risks or opportunities of a group is consolidation (i.e. in the sense of bringing together / “combination of a number of things”) of all impacts, risks or opportunities based on the materiality principle, no matter where in the group structure they arise.
- 8 The EFRAG secretariat acknowledges that the restriction “and one or more of its subsidiaries is using the subsidiary exemption” is not a necessary precondition when the principles for “sustainability reporting at a consolidated level” should apply and therefore should be deleted.
- 9 To consider the new text of the CSRD the EFRAG secretariat proposed to the SR TEG the following redrafting of paragraph 128 of ESRS 1:

When the undertaking is reporting at a consolidated level ~~and one or more of its subsidiaries is using the subsidiary exemption of the CSRD~~ the undertaking shall perform its assessment of material impacts, risks and opportunities for the entire consolidated group, regardless of its group legal structure, making sure that all subsidiaries are covered in a way that allows for the unbiased identification of material impacts, risks and opportunities. It is necessary that parent undertakings reporting at consolidated level provide an adequate understanding of the risks and impacts of their subsidiaries, including information on their due diligence processes where appropriate.

There might be cases where the differences between the situation of the group and its individual subsidiaries, or between the situation of individual subsidiaries in different geographies are significant and would, in the absence of additional information about the individual subsidiary concerned, cause the user to reach a substantially different conclusion about the risks or impacts of the subsidiary.

Where the undertaking identifies significant differences between the risks or impacts of the group and the risks or impacts of one or more of its subsidiaries, the undertaking shall provide an adequate understanding of the risks and impacts, as appropriate, of the subsidiary or subsidiaries concerned.

The following two examples illustrate circumstances where the undertaking would identify significant differences between the risks or impacts of the group and the risks or impacts of a subsidiary:

- a) A group that is manufacturing cars or chemical products has a coal power plant in a separate subsidiary to produce energy exclusively for its production facilities. The impacts on people and the environment of producing energy in a coal-power plant, as well as the risks connected to it, are significantly different from car or chemical production and should be reported.*
- b) A bank provides finance to a newco to finance the construction of a windfarm in the Ocean. Due to technical issues, the revenues generated by the windfarm are not sufficient to repay the loan to the bank. The bank acquires the ownership of the windfarm in order to recover his loan and has to operate the windfarm in order to collect the cash flows. The impacts on people and the environment of operating a windfarm in the Ocean, as well as the risks connected to it, are significantly different from banking operations and should be reported.*

EFRAG SR TEG discussion at the meeting on the 6 September 2022

- 10 EFRAG SR TEG agreed with the amendments proposed, with the following additional suggestions and comments:
- (a) Some members suggested to remove the second example, as at this stage, missing sector specific guidance on how to implement the ESRS requirements in the financial sector, it would create confusion on the reporting to be made when the bank has an investment in a different business sector, as opposed to when the bank has own operations in a different business sector.
 - (b) The observer from the EC suggested to consider adding an example to cover instances other than when the subsidiary operates in a different business sector.
 - (c) The examples would sit better in application guidance, however as ESRS 1 doesn't have application guidance, it is acceptable that they are in the main text of the standard.
 - (d) The current guidance in ESRS 1 already provides for the need to consider all the risks of the group, including those of the subsidiaries, with appropriate materiality triggers/criteria defined for their materiality assessment. As such, all the impacts and risks should be captured, when material. In addition, the reference to ESRS Segment classifications (based on NACE codes) is expected to facilitate the identification of the various business sectors where the group operates. However, as there is an explicit requirement in the CSRD to consider specifically the impacts and risks of subsidiaries that have been exempted from the reporting obligations, it is necessary to amend ESRS 1 to reflect this explicit requirement. It may play serve as a completeness check in the materiality assessment.

Proposal for re-drafting – as proposed to the SRB for approval on the 12 September

- 11 On the basis of the SR TEG recommendations, the following drafting changes are proposed to the SRB for approval in this meeting:

When the undertaking is reporting at a consolidated level ~~and one or more of its subsidiaries is using the subsidiary exemption of the CSRD~~ the undertaking shall perform its assessment of material impacts, risks and opportunities for the entire consolidated group, regardless of its group legal structure, making sure that all subsidiaries are covered in a way that allows for the unbiased identification of material impacts, risks and opportunities.

There might be cases where the differences between the situation of the group and its individual subsidiaries, or between the situation of individual subsidiaries in different geographies are significant and would, in the absence of additional information about the individual subsidiary concerned, cause the user to reach a substantially different conclusion about the risks or impacts of the subsidiary.

Where the undertaking identifies significant differences between the risks or impacts of the group and the risks or impacts of one or more of its subsidiaries, the undertaking shall provide an adequate understanding of the risks and impacts, as appropriate, of the subsidiary or subsidiaries concerned.

The following two examples illustrate circumstances where the undertaking would identify significant differences between the risks or impacts of the group and the risks or impacts of a subsidiary:

- c) A group that is manufacturing cars or chemical products has a coal power plant in a separate subsidiary to produce energy exclusively for its production facilities. The impacts on people and the environment of*

producing energy in a coal-power plant, as well as the risks connected to it, are significantly different from car or chemical production and should be reported.

- d) *A group in the textile production with the main plants in Region A and a subsidiary in Region B. Region B is an area of water stress while Region A is not. The subsidiary active in Region B operates the same business, but it is exposed to a significantly different profile of impacts and risks, due to the different geographical circumstances of the two Regions.*

Listing of subsidiaries using the consolidation exemption

12 The CSRD requires:

“Undertakings shall indicate which subsidiaries included in the consolidation are exempted from individual or consolidated reporting according to Articles 19a(7) or 29a(7) respectively.”

13 This new requirement has not been considered in the ED ESRS. It is best placed in the general disclosure requirements of ESRS 2. It is worthwhile to note that Article 28 (2) (a) of the Accounting Directive requires a listing “in relation to undertakings included in consolidation”. As currently drafted (see ESRS 2 paragraph 2) “incorporation by reference” is allowed for the general disclosure requirements of ESRS 2. So, the list of undertakings included in consolidated financial statements and the list of exempted subsidiaries under the CSRD can be combined.

14 The following amendment is proposed to ESRS 2 paragraph 5 (new text in red):

“The undertaking shall disclose the key features of its sustainability report:

- (a) whether the sustainability report is consolidated or individual;
- (b) in the case of a consolidated sustainability report
 - (i) a confirmation that the reporting scope (see ESRS 1) used for sustainability reporting is identical to the scope used for the consolidated financial statements;
 - (ii) *a list of subsidiaries being exempted from individual or consolidated reporting obligations according to Articles 19a(7) or 29a(7) of the CSRD respectively;*
- (c) for an undertaking based in an EU member state that allows for the exemption in articles 19a (3) and 29a (3) of the CSRD on disclosure of information prejudicial to its commercial position, the use of the option, if applicable; and
- (d) where applicable the identification of the auditor, the audit firm or the independent assurance service provider giving assurance on the (consolidated) sustainability report and the level of assurance provided.”

Questions for EFRAG SR TEG

- 15 Do EFRAG SR TEG members agree with the EFRAG Secretariat’s proposal for re-drafting of paragraph 128 (paragraphs 11 and 14 above)?

Appendix 1: Excepts from the “new” CSRD

Recital 22:

(22) “Article 23 of Directive 2013/34/EU exempts parent undertakings from the obligation to prepare consolidated financial statements and a consolidated management report where those undertakings are subsidiaries of another parent undertaking that complies with that obligation. It should be specified, however, that the exemption regime for consolidated financial statements and consolidated management reports operates independently from the exemption regime for consolidated sustainability reporting. An undertaking can therefore be exempted from consolidated financial reporting obligations but not exempted from consolidated sustainability reporting obligations where its ultimate parent prepares consolidated financial statements and consolidated management reports in accordance with Union law, or in accordance with equivalent requirements if the undertaking is established in a third country, but does not prepare consolidated sustainability reporting in accordance with EU law, or in accordance with equivalent requirements if the undertaking is established in a third country. **It is necessary that parent undertakings reporting at consolidated level provide an adequate understanding of the risks and impacts of their subsidiaries, including information on their due diligence processes where appropriate. There might be cases where the differences between the situation of the group and its individual subsidiaries, or between the situation of individual subsidiaries in different geographies are particularly significant and would, in the absence of additional information about the individual subsidiary concerned, cause the user to reach a substantially different conclusion about the risks or impacts of the subsidiary.”**

Article 29a, para 3a.

“Where the reporting undertaking identifies significant differences between the risks or impacts of the group and the risks or impacts of one or more its subsidiaries, the undertaking shall provide an adequate understanding of the risks and impacts, as appropriate, of the subsidiary or subsidiaries concerned. Undertakings shall indicate which subsidiaries included in the consolidation are exempted from individual or consolidated reporting according to Articles 19a(7) or 29a(7) respectively.”