Batch of categorisations approved by SRB meeting 02.05.2024.

Mailing from ESRS Q&A Platform to Sustainability Reporting TEG

t-off date	for questions proce	ssed by EFRAG s	ecretariat	02/05/2024	Mailing for:	SR TEG	Mailing type:	b: cat.	to be sent to SRT -	⊦ EC
Re- sponse ID	Category of question: * explanation * Imp.G * Amendment * out of scope * Rejection (secretariat proposal)	bundling of related questions (only applicable for implementation guidance and 5c already asked)	Allocatio n to E, S, G, x- cutting and others	Q+A Title (Secretariat)	Question asked (Secretariat)	ESRS reference (Secretariat)	Reason for categorisation	Main Sector	Stakeholder group	Country question coming from
	1 - explanation question	IG 2: Value chain; ID 787	x-cutting	sustainability rules for consolidation / JV and associates	How should joint venture (or associates) employees be taken into account in the sustainability statement?	ESRS S1 Disclosure Requirement AR3; ESRS S2 Disclosure Requirement AR3; VCIG Paragraph 34	an explanation would be beneficial: VCIG already states that operational control does not apply to JV or associates (except if they are part of the value chain); group is only parent and subs; need to more clearly elaborate where opertional control is relevant (some E standards) and where not (S standards?); so JVs/associates are not part of own operations combine with ID 787: Are their precise criterias on how companies should	Not applicable	Preparer	Switzerland
429	1 - explanation question	n/a	x-cutting	Entity-specific disclosures and ESRS 2 Disclosure Requirements	"its disclosures include, where applicable, all material information related to the reporting areas of governance; strategy; impact, risk and opportunity management; and metrics and targets (see ESRS 2 chapters 2 to 5)." When replying to an entity specific disclosure, which are the data point or the disclosure requirements to take into account from ESRS 2?	ESRS 2 Appendix A: AR2 (b)	explanation need that an entity specific matter not covered by any ESRS could result in some GOV, SBM-1 to 3 and all MDR Disclosure Requirements depending on the facts and circumstances	Not applicable	Other	Belgium
437	1 - explanation question	n/a		Waste; recovery; incineration	Is "incineration with energy recovery" to be reported under "other recovery operations"?	ESRS E5-5 paragraph 37, b, iii	Incineration is to be treated as a recovery operation, if it meets the conditions to be considered as an R1 operation, according to Annex II do the Waste directive. In this case, it shall be considered as an "other recovery operation" (ESRS ES, paragraph 37(b)(iii)). If the conditions in which incineration occur are conducive for its classification as a D10 operation (Annex II of the Waste Directive), then it shall be classified as a disposal operation.		Preparer	Germany
438	1 - explanation question	n/a	Environm ent	Waste; Radioactive waste	Why is it necessary to report radioactive waste from analytical devices and tracer applications?	ESRS E5-5 paragraph 39	materiality of the quantities of radioactive waste	Chemicals	Preparer	Germany
467	5a -rejection: non- conclusive	n/a	Environm ent	Resource inflow; materiality	How shall I assess whether an inflow is material or not?	ESRS E5-4 paragraph 31	MAIG guide is already explaing that MA is based on the use of professional judgement; in addition to that EFRAG secretariat cannot perform the materiality assessment for a specific fact pattern; specific facts and circumstances are unkonwn; answer is specific on them; specific fact pattern itself is non-widespread	Electronics and electrical equipment	Industry Group	Sweden

496	1 - explanation question	related question received under ID 513, 803 (will be answered on a sector agnostic basis together under ID 496)		in construction	s How should "own operations" be understood in the case of a construction company (general contractor)? Shall an EU entity (i.E. EU-based listed holding	ESRS ES, DR E5-5, paragraph 37	Clarification of value chain definition. The answer is not currently in IG2. See also ID513 and answer both questions under ID 496 together capitalise on the answer of the submitter: A further interpretation (possibly the most correct) could be that a general contractor should exclude ESRS E5 DR E5-5, paragraph 37 on the basis of materiality (if it considers the reporting of waste from its own operations under the literal interpretation to be immaterial), but should include reporting waste generated on site through its value chain on the basis of materiality as an entity-specific disclosure.	Construction and Engineering	Preparer	Finland
506	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	ID 148	x-cutting	Scope of consolidation - non-EU subsidiary	company) that falls under the scope of the CSRD reporting obligation, and which has a non-EU subsidiary (a credit institution), include in its consolidated report ESRS data points/KPIs of that Non-EU subsidiary (credit institution)?	ESRS 1 7.6	ID 148, is more general but as agnostic includes also credit institutions: Does the (consolidated) sustainability statement of a parent company has to include all its subsidiaries even if some of them are located out-side of the EU and/or if some are not (financially/legally) consolidated?	Not applicable	Preparer	Bulgaria
534	2b - implementation guidance (addendum to existing one)	ID 500	x-cutting		I hope to clarify if Application Requirements sometimes count as individual data points under a DR to be reported upon. As an example in relation to ESRS E1, E1. IRO-1 20b	ESRS E1, E1. IRO-1 20b and AR 11 a,b,c,d	Similar comments have been provided in the public feedback of IG3. Clarification will be reflected in the final version of IG 3	Not applicable	Assurance Services Provider	Denmark
556	2a - implementation guidance (new)	n/a	Environm ent	Thresholds for accute and chronic physical climate risks	Can EFRAG provide more guidance for companies as to what materiality thresholds for chronic and acute physical climate risks are?	ESRS E1 paragraph 66a; ESRS Disclosure Requirement E1-9	The answer to this question is AR 68 (could then be a simple EXPLANATION) depends on the undertaking's internal methodology. However, to answer this question with the level of detail suggested would require extensive research and consultation, in what could be quite a lengthy and complex process. We suggest that to treat it as a IG (and a complex one), to be prioritized in accordance and to get back to author flagging AR68 and that this will be treated as IG, to be prioritized appropriately.	Information Technology	Industry Group	United States of America
644	1 - explanation question	n/a	x-cutting	Fossil fuel sector, scope	What are the limits of the fossil fuel sector? Is petrochemical sector included? Like ethylene production?	ESRS 2 paragraph 40 d (i)	explanation on the boundaries of the fossil fuel sector is helpful for this sector-agnostic Disclosure Requirement of ESRS 2 Question is combined with ID 644 as it is also related to ESRS 1 para 40 (d) i	Professional Services	Preparer	France
645	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	ID 644	x-cutting	Fossil fuel sector, scope	Should an Engineering, Procurement and Construction contractor of an LNG plant include its EPC revenues under this caption?	ESRS 2 paragraph 40 d (i)	fossil fuel;	Professional Services	Preparer	France
659	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	ID 479	Governan	Governance; minimum disclosure requirements for policies, a actions and targets	Is it mandatory to disclose actions and targets for G1?	ESRS 2 MDR-A § 66 ESRS 2 MDR-T § 80	Already asked in ID 479 which is: "Do MDRs not have to be applied for matters that are matched to the G1 standard?"	Not applicable	User	Germany
678	2a - implementation guidance (new)	n/a	Environm ent	exemption to prepare consolidated accounts	What organizational boundary method should be used for GHG emissions when the entity does not prepare consolidated financial statements per the exemption in ESRS 1 para. 62 (e.g. 48i transitional provision)? ESRS £1 paragraph 50 (a) & (b) requires the Scope 1 and 2 emissions to be disaggregated by the consolidated accounting group and nonconsolidated entities (considering operational control), but the entity may be exempt from financial consolidation.		Question is how to determine the ESRS E1 scopes when an exemption to prepare consolidated accounts apply and ssutainability statements are prepared on the basis of "artificial consolidation" for the EU subsidiaries (see Accounting Directive Art 48i 3rd country parent undertaking)?	Not applicable	Preparer	United States of America

679	2a - implementation guidance (new)	ID 732, 731	Other	3rd country reporting and scope	Are entities required to incorporate financial data into disclosure requirements when the entity does not prepare consolidated financial statements at the required sustainability reporting level due to an exemption? For example, total revenue or capital expenditure metrics? Would preparers not need to comply with ESRS 1 paragraphs 124 and 125 in these situations?	ESRS 1 paragraph 62; CSRD Art 48i Transitional provisions ficticious consolidation at EU level	CSRD Art 48i allows a member state option for undertakings subject to Art 19a and 29a whose parent undertaking is not goverened by the law of a member state to prepare consolidated sust. reporting that includes all Union subsidiary undertakings of such parent undertaking subject to Art 19a or 29a. Here called "ficticious consolidation at EU level". In this situation it is not possible to prepare "connected information" as required by ESRS 1 paragraph 124 and 125. Recommend to develop what to do in this situation in an IG and propose to public for feedback. Alternative: Reject question as out-of-scope (slightly different but related question ID 731: Can non-listed companies, which are not required to draw-up financial statements, publish a stand-alone Sustainability Report instead of presenting sustainability information in a dedicated section of the management report?)	Not applicable	Preparer	United States of America
680	5a -rejection: non- conclusive	n/a	x-cutting	Practicable thresholds	When it comes to report information to concerning performance indicators, e. g. for ESRS Standards E1 E4, is it applicable to use practicable thresholds for determining the information?	Appendix B:	EFRAG is not in a position to provide practicable thresholds for metrics as this is highly judgemental. They need to be determined considering the specific facts and circumstances.	Construction Materials	Preparer	Germany
682	1 - explanation question	n/a		EU subsidiary company with	As part a group, only our largest understaking meets the criteria to start reporting in FY2025. We would like to understand if the group and the largest company shall present separate reports, where the group report would include the large company sustainability as well as other group undertakings, and the large company should report to on itself alone. Alternatively, would the group report comprising the largest company be sufficient?	Phase in	The question requires an interpretation of the accounting directive and it is not related to ESRS. HOWEVER: Based on the question received it can be assumed that in the case of the submitter the parent company is a non-EU company. It might be beneficial for that fact pattern to explain that indeed the large EU sub must prepare its own sustainability statement before the 3rd country reporting applies. SRB 2.5.24: decided to have a short explanation (instead of out-of-scope) on the FY 2025 sustainability reporting need for the EU subsidiaries in 3rd country groups	Food and Beverages	Preparer	Malta
683		ESRS 2 paragraph 8	x-cutting	C	For each "basis of preparation" described in the standard ESRS 2, should all the disclosures concerned by the standard be listed in the ESRS 2 paragraph at the beginning of the report?	ESRS 2 BP-2	Answer is given in ESRS 2 paragraph 8 giving an option to have the information in the cross-cutting section or "alongside the disclosures to which they refer." As this is clear guidance we do not think that the question is widespread.	Food and Beverages	Preparer	France
684	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	ID 148	x-cutting	non EU -	Which countries are to be included in the reporting? If the holding company is based in Germany, are all subsidiaries worldwide to be included or only those based in Europe? Should subsidiaries in the UK be included?	ESRS S1	ID 148: Does the (consolidated) sustainability statement of a parent company has to include all its subsidiaries even if some of them are located out-side of the EU and/or if some are not (financially/legally) consolidated?	Not applicable	User	Germany
687	4 - out-of-scope of EFRAG	n/a	x-cutting	Reporting by parent / by subsidiaries	Do groups with companies in several EU countries and holding company headquarters in Germany have to report exclusively to a German authority or is the report submitted to an EU authority? In the respective EU countries with subsidiaries, does a report also have to be submitted to a competent authority in the respective country for each subsidiary or a consolidated report for the subsidiaries within a country?	ESRS S1	Reporting of financial statements and management reporting (including the sustainability statement) is governed by the accounting directive not ESRS. Also not clear, what is meant by "report to a German / EU authority". Sustainability statement is part of the management report. So, no "report to a German or any other authority". All EU subsidiaries can prepare individual sustainability statements or use subsidiary exemption (ESRS 1 chapter 7.6)	Not applicable	User	Germany

		1								
697	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	ID 326	XBRL	XBRL - complete list of requirements	Why are not all datapoints as well as application requirements included in the excel draft list of esrs data points? Some points are missing (ESRS 1 E1-1 DP15)? Also, many application requirements are not included in the list. Why is this the case?	ESRS 1 E1-1 DP15	ID 326: Why are there some AR that count as datapoints and some that don't?	Professional Services	Non- Governmental Organisation	France
706	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	IG1 chapter 3.6 and 3.7	x-cutting	Risk vs opportunity / positive vs negative impact, thresholds	We understand that the exercise of assessment of the materiality of a topic results in determining a level of risk and a level of opportunity for the financial materiality on one side, and a level of negative impact and a level of positive impact for the impact materiality on the other side. However, we are wondering how to properly give a sense of risk vs opportunity and positive vs negative impact? Question rephrased to: Where to set thresholds for risks and opportunities respectively positive and negative impacts? For instance, if a topic has a high level of opportunity, and a low level of risk, where should we place it on our matrix for the reader to be able to know whether the level of financial materiality is driven by the risk or by the opportunity of this specific topic?	ESRS 1 Chapter 2.2	IG1 chapter 3.6 "deep dive on impact materiality" / 3.7 "deep dive on financial materiality"	Not applicable	Preparer	France
717	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	IG1 chapter 2.5	x-cutting	Datapoints derived from EU legislation and materiality	Are datapoints from ESRS 2, Appendix B (List of datapoints in cross-cutting and topical standards that derive from other EU legislation) always mandatory and if not, how can this be compatible with the CSRD (2022/2644, Article 1 - Amendments to Directive 2013/34/EU, paragraph 8, no. 1, subparagraph 2: "which shall at least include the information that financial market participants subject to the disclosure obligations of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 need in order to comply with those obligations")?	ESRS 1 paragraph 35	IG1 chapter 2.5 deals with "datapoints derived from EU legislation". EU datapoints not considered material by the undertaking shall be stated as not material and are thereby disclosed. Strictly speaking this question is also not a technical question but asking why ESRS are drafted as they are drafted.	Professional Services	Assurance Services Provider	r Germany
721	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	ESRS 1 paragraph 32; IG1 paragraph 31	x-cutting	Always material topics?	IROs will become material automatically?	•	There is no sustainability matter that is mandatory in any case. Therefore, the question is based on a wrong assumption. Noting that it is more the other way round, the IROs make a topic material. It is not that a topic becomes by itself material.	Food and Beverage Services	Preparer	Germany
725	4 - out-of-scope of EFRAG	n/a	Other	Enforcement	How does ESRS (EFRAG) ensure that companies implement the standards? Resp. what happens to companies if they do not report or do not report sufficiently?	CSRD	question is on enforcement	Food and Beverages	Preparer	Switzerland
728	1 - explanation question	n/a	x-cutting		Is the "representation of employees and other workers" asking which of the board members is also an employee or is it about if there are workers representants in the board?	ESRS-2 paragraph 21.b	ESRS requires (only) information whether (or not) employees or other workers are represented in AMS bodies. This can be driven by national law. Consistency with CG statement is needed.	Not applicable	Other	Belgium

729	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	IG1 chapter 3.5, FAQ1 and		stakeholder	What is the correct approach to stakeholder engagement? Detailed questions provided in background: 1) When is the right time to engage stakeholders into the dialogue? Is it correct approach to engage stakeholders only in the last step of Double Materiality Assessment, for validation of identified material topics, sub-topics etc.? 2) Would it be correct to engage them in the form of questionnaire with a scale to score materiality of each topic? Is it sufficient to explain the reason why this topic was deemed material and not to include the exhausting list of IROs? 3) Should stakeholders consider what is material to them or consider what is material to the reporting entity in their view? 4) Is it correct to assign different weights to different stakeholder types when evaluating the results of stakeholder dialogue? Could these weights be decided solely by internal managerial decision of the reporting entity? 5) Is it correct approach to choose a sample of people from each stakeholder category a engage them in the dialogue? E.g. if the reporting entity has 1,000 employees, it will choose a sample of employees from each functional	ESRS E1, paragraph	Question is very broad with detailed subquestions that are addressed in IG1	Professional		
		chapter 5.4	x-cutting	engagement	department and engage only 20 employees.	3.1	SRB 2.5.24: Confirmed that questions are covered by MAIG	Services	Preparer	Slovakia
731	4 - out-of-scope of EFRAG	ID 679, 732	Other	3rd country reporting and scope	Can non-listed companies, which are not required to draw-up financial statements, publish a standalone Sustainability Report instead of presenting sustainability information in a dedicated section of the management report?	ESRS 1 Para. 110; ESRS 2 Para. 5	EU to decide on the location of the report, including for non EU companies	Electronics and electrical equipment	Preparer	South Korea
732	2a - implementation guidance (new)	ID 679, 731	Other	3rd country reporting and scope	Even if a reporting company is non-listed and has no legal obligation to disclose financial information(financial statements), is it obligated to publicly disclose its financial information required by ESRS?	ESRS 2 48d; ESRS 2 40(b)	explain that financial information required by ESRS (e.g. assets at physical risk, revenue etc) are part of ESRS requirements and if they are not in financial statement as they are not prepared (based on the exemption in Art 23 of the Accounting Directive for Non-EU Groups) they must be disclosed in the sustainability statement		Preparer	South Korea
733	1 - explanation question	n/a	x-cutting	ESRS 2 versus topical DR	I think many of the ESRS 2 datapoints can be overlapping with those in Topical standards. What would be the scope of information the preparer is expected to provide within ESRS 2 (that are distinctive of topical standards datapoints)	ESRS 1	So far it has not been received that the ESRS DR are overlapping with the topical standards. On the contrary the topical standards have DR that relate to ESRS 2 disclosures and specify them in relation to the respective topic. So these are a specifications that must be disclosed as part of the ESRS 2 DR if the topic is assessed as material.	Electronics and electrical equipment	Preparer	South Korea
737	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	ESRS 1 paragraph 33 and 24; Draft ID1 paragraph 54 and 55	x-cutting	(I wonder why the ESRS 1 group policies and actions (§12 c ii) together, separated from metrics and targets (§12 d), while the "Flowchart for determining disclosures under ESRS" in Appendix E is referring to policies, actions and targets as one "group", while metrics is considered alone? Are 0 targets related to both these "groups"?	ESRS 1, § 12c ii and 12d and Appendix E	Targets are grouped together with policies and actions; metrics are treated differently in respect of the materiality assessment. Details are provided in ESRS 1 para 33 and 34 and in Appendix E; also in Draft IG1 para 54 and 55	Information Technology	Preparer	Sweden

757	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	IG1 chapter 2.4 para 55	x-cutting		Would it be possible to report only part of the 0 metrics of a material ESRS ?	ESRS 1 part 3.2	Is stated in para 55 of IG1 that also datapoints must not be reported if not material. Also ESRS 1 para 34 (b) is clear: " May omit information presribed by a datapoint of a DR if it assess such information to be not material"	Construction and Engineering	l Preparer	France
781	1 - explanation question			general /	Is the General Meeting to be considered as an		General meeting is a seperate governance body then AMS. Body includes powers of shareholders. ESRS does not adress General Meeting. In contrast Accounting Directive and National CG Code refer to this body "General meeting is a seperate governance body then AMS. Body includes powers of shareholders. ESRS does not adress General Meeting. In contrast Accounting Directive and National CG Code refer to this body.			
		n/a	x-cutting	shareholder meeting and administrative management and governance	"administrative, management and supervisory body"? The General Meeting is usually a company's , highest decision making body. However, it seems		Consider when answering: that the general meeting or shareholders meeting as it is often called an important aspect where shareholders can provide their input on the management of the company/group as a whole. However, while it may ratify or approve important decisions such as dividends and major investments/divestments etc. it is not involved in the continuous management of the undertaking and therefore not considered in the ESRS as it embodies the rights of the shareholders themselves."	Electronics and electrical equipment	Preparer	Finland
787	1 - explanation question	see also ID 284; 792	x-cutting	sustainability rules for consolidation?	Are there precise criterias on how companies should consolidate? Is it financial or operational consolidation?	ESRS chapter 5.1	explain when to use operational control instead of financial control combine with ID 284: How should joint venture employees be taken into account in the report? (Draft) IG 2 VC - FAQ 1: Where does the VC begin and end?	Not applicable	User	Belgium
							see also: Chapter 5.1ESRS 1, ESRS E1 para 46, Section $2.2,2.3$ and 2.4of VCIG and FAQ 1 and FAQ 7 of the VCIG. The answer is in ESRS, and explained further in VCIG			
788	5d - rejection: already asked/answered	IG 2 VC FAQ 1 and also FAQ 7 similar question ID 122	x-cutting	Value chain -	How far from the company should we go when analysing affected stakeholders from the DMA perspective?	ESRS 1 General requirements 3./3.1./ 22. (a)	(see also ID 122 with similar question: As part of the materiality analysis it is necessary to identify all material upstream activities. My question is about when it is allowed to make a cut, as some considerations would clearly exceed the scope. Example: Among other activities a logistic company uses trucks to transport the goods. This naturally results in various environmental effects (e.g co2-emission), but does the company now have to record the entire production of the truck? This would at once make all ESRS issues material.)	Not applicable	Preparer	Finland
		122	x catting	CICI IV	perspective.	3., 3.1., 22. (d)	Esto issues materially	Not applicable	ricparei	riniana
	2b - implementation						contentious question - warrants an addition to IG 1 - FAQ 23 (gross/net) ID 515: When scoring impacts, should we consider residual risk or inherent risk?			
790	guidance (addendum to existing one)	IG 1 - FAQ 23 ;		inherent or			ID 791: Does "before considering climate change mitigation/adaptation actions" also include actions that has already been implemented, or does it only refer to future actions?			
		see also ID 515 on impact materiality; ID 791		residual risks; before or afte mitigation activities	r Should mitigation measures already in place be taken into consideration while doing the financial materiality assessment?	ESRS 1 chapter 3	SRB 2.5.24: as the fin. materiality aspect of FAQ 23 gross/net has been deleted from the MIAG as decided by SRB this would become an IG (addendum)	Not applicable	Preparer	Sweden

	Т	1								
							Explain giving a generic answer that JV need to prepare sustainability statement not being able to apply the subsidiary exemption.			
							Noting that: ESRS has no consolidation rules on its own but follow the scope of consolidation of the financial statements.			
							Whether joint ventures need to prepare (consolidated) sustainability			
	1 - explanation						statements is a CSRD not an ESRS question. However, it can be assumed that they have to, because by definition they have no parent company as			
792	question						defined in Art 2 (9) of the Accounting Directive that could make them			
	4						available for the subsidiary exemption provision; noting that subsidiary			
					Is a joint venture required to prepare a		exemption is only available if an undertaking is included in a consolidated			
					(consolidated) sustainability statement?		group as required by Art 19a (9) of the Accounting Directive. Therefore, it is			
					The question received was as follows but was		expected that a joint venture having no parent company and therefore not being a subsidiary according to Art 2 (10) of the Accounting Directive is not			
					understood to ask the above; it was reformulated		able to avail itself for the subsidiary exemption.			
					for clarity: Is consolidated reporting available for		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
				rules for	companies that do not share a unique parent		Specific national laws transposing the Accounting Directive need to be			United
		n/a	x-cutting	consolidation	company?	ESRS 1 chapter 7.6	considered.	Chemicals	Preparer	Kingdom
	5d - rejection:					ESRS 2 par.53;ESRS 2	IG 1 chapter 2: "If the undertaking identifeis a large number of IROs, it may			
813	already	IG 1 MA			Can/should IROs be prioritised for reporting		priritise them for management purposes. However, for reporting purposes			
	asked/answered	chapter 2	x-cutting		0 purposes?	26	this prioritisation should not exclude any material IROs, in particular"	Not applicable	Preparer	South Korea
	Ed			Phase-in 750 employees;	Can Minimum Disclosure Requirements (and the					
820	5d - rejection: already				I related data points) be subject to phase-in		ID 58: If appendix C of ESRS 1 allows companies under 750 employees to			
020	asked/answered			disclosures in			omit E4 and S1-4 for the first three years, why does ESRS 2 paragraph 17			
				topical	been assessed as material according to the		then say that the information still needs to be disclosed if considered		Assurance	
		ID 58	x-cutting	standards	undertaking's materiality assessment?	ESRS 1 Appendix C	material? Is there a difference in granularity of information disclosed?	Not applicable	Services Provider	Belgium
					When performing the DMA for a holding company, should a topic, considered only material for					
					subsidiary A be considered material at the holding					
					level? At the end, should it be reported for all the					
830	5d - rejection: already				other subsidiaries? Or due to weighting reasons it					
830	asked/answered				could be disregarded (if subsidiary A does not		FAQ 22: Is a multi-sector group required to include metrics for the entire			
	uskeu/unswereu			holding and	represent much neither in terms of impact nor		group or only data related to the material IRO? Plus an example given in			
				topic only material for	financially)? Also, should we consider holding-level specific topics (e.g. holding company employee	ESRS 1 paras	that FAQ.		Assurance	
		IG 1 FAQ 22	x-cutting	subsidary	matters)??	67,68,69,70	SRB 2.5.24: Now this is confirmed in the final MAIG	Capital Markets	Services Provider	Portugal
			Ū	•						Ü
					Why are not all paragraphs of the ESRS E1 full text		ID 22C Who are those area AD that are the determine			
					that indicate a disclosure requirement by 'the undertaking shall' included in the 'Draft EFRAG IG		ID 326: Why are there some AR that count as datapoints and some that don't?			
	5d - rejection:				3 List of ESRS Data Points 231222.xlsx'? If a		also ID 697 giving an example of an "objective pargraph" that was not			
838	already				company checks all relevant (i.e. material and		included in the list of datapoints			
	asked/answered			XBRL -	applicable) rows in the list of ESRS data points, can		(ID 697: Why are not all datapoints as well as application requirements			
				complete list	·		included in the excel draft list of esrs data points? Some points are missing (
		ID 326 and 697	7 VDDI	of	ESRS or still need to check the whole full text incl.	ESRS E1 AR 46 and	ESRS 1 E1-1 DP15) ? Also, many application requirements are not included in	Professional Services	Bronaror	Gormany
L		אין 320 and 69.	/ ADKL	requirements	ARs if something needs to be disclosed in addition?	WK 2T	the list. Why is this the case?)	services	Preparer	Germany

	844	4 - out-of-scope of EFRAG	n/a	Other	Third country parent and reporting of EU subsidiaries	Application scope of sustainability reporting under ESRS	N/A	Question is on the applicability of CSRD / Accounting Directive no ESRS	Not applicable	Other	South Korea
ŀ											
			J								

Copy this template by creating a new sheet for an (SRB / SRT / EC) mailing:

- 1. right click on the TAB Mailing Template (don't change)
- 2. select the position where the new TAB should be copied to (see screenshot)
 - 3. click the icon "copy"
 - 4. click okay!
 - See screenshot below
 - 5. Rename the newly created TAB as appropriate