

EFRAG FRB meeting 25 June 2024 Paper 07-03

EFRAG Secretariat: Galina Borisova, Sergey Vinogradov, Didrik Thrane-Nielsen

This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a public meeting of the EFRAG FRB. The paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG FRB or EFRAG FR TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in the EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions, as approved by the EFRAG FRB, are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers, or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON THE AMENDMENTS TO THE CLASSIFICATION AND MEASUREMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (AMENDMENTS TO IFRS 9 AND IFRS 7)

Once filled in, this form should be submitted by 13 September 2024 using the 'Comment publication link' available at the bottom of the respective news item. All open consultations can be found on EFRAG's web site: Open consultations: express your views.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the Amendments to the Classification and Measurement of Financial Instruments (Amendments to IFRS 9 and IFRS 7) ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Your details

	reace promise the remaining decision.		
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its		
	name:		
(b)	Are you a:		
	Preparer User Other (please specify)		

Please provide the following details:

Amendments to the Classification and Measurement of Financial Instruments (Amendments to IFRS 9 and IFRS 7) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

Please provide a short description of your activity:

(c)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(d)	Country where you are located:
	(e)	Contact details, including e-mail address:
EFRA	G's init	tial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement
2	EFRAC	G's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical criteria for
	endor	rsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the principle of true and
	fair vi	ew and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability, comparability and
	lead t	o prudent accounting. ${\sf EFRAG's}$ reasoning is set out in ${\sf Appendix}$ ${\sf 2}$ of the accompanying
	Draft	Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of the Amendments.
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
		☐ Yes ☐ No
		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the
		implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying Draft
		Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of the Amendments that
		you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the
		Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are
		relevant to the evaluation?

The European public good

In its assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, EFRAG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Amendments to the Classification and Measurement of Financial Instruments (Amendments to IFRS 9 and IFRS 7) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

Improvement in financial reporting

4	EFRAG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether the Amendments are an
	improvement over current requirements across the areas which have been subject to
	changes (see paragraphs 3 to 5 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the
	European Commission). To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments
	are likely to improve the quality of financial reporting.
	Do you agree with the assessment?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Cost	s and benefits
5	EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users or
	implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years
	Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment wil
	be used to complete the assessment.
6	The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 7 to 15 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments will likely result in limited and mostly one-off costs for preparers and users.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
7	In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the
	Amendments. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraph 16 to
	18 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission regarding
	endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the

Amendments to the Classification and Measurement of Financial Instruments (Amendments to IFRS 9 and IFRS 7) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

Amendments will result in benefits for both users and preparers by reducing the diversity in practice and ensuring consistent application of the requirements.

	Do you agree with this assessment?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
8	EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU, as described in paragraph 7 above, are likely to outweigh the costs involved, as described in paragraph 6 above, notably operational burden caused by new disclosure requirements on financial instruments with ESG-linked and similar features and operational complexities of implementing amendments related to settling financial liabilities using an electronic payment system within the given time frame.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Overd	all assessment with respect to the European public good
9	EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to
	the European public good (see paragraphs 20 to 23 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft
	Letter to the European Commission).
	Do you agree with this conclusion?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.