

- EFRAG FR TEG and CFSS meeting
- 4 July 2023





Overview and timeline



#### Overview

- Methodology Objective
- Overall methodology
- Revision approaches
- SASB Standards Taxonomy Update
- Future Standards SASB Refinements





#### Timeline

2 June '23 launch of EFRAG consultation

End of June – begin July : several outreach events

10 July '23 end of EFRAG consultation

9 August'23 end of ISSB consultation

- EFRAG User Panel
- Joint outreach with ISSB
- DRSC public event
- EFRAG CFSS
- Community outreach

- 1 August SR TEG
- SRB written approval



Methodology Objective



#### Methodology Objective

- Scope of the intended enhancements and the objective of the proposed methodology stated clearly?
- Are the constraints of the objective appropriate?
- Other objective(s) or constraint(s) to be added?

- a) Shortcomings in the methodology to be addressed:
- Ratification status of internationally applicable references
- Impact on comparability when integrating jurisdictional references.
- b) View on overall direction of travel in Sustainability Reporting.
- Future status of industry-based disclosures requirements need clearer specification
- Overall view of the target universe of topical standards that will change the SASB disclosures is necessary.





Overall methodology



## Overall methodology

- Agree with enhanced methodology?
- Any alternatives or suggestions?

EFRAG identified shortcomings in the methodology to be addressed:

- Need of increased comparability level when working with national references
  - EFRAG suggests reliance on internationally applicable regulations
- Ratification status of international references

   EFRAG suggests ISSB to make a mapping available for all internationally applicable references, where this have been ratified.

Is ratification status of international reference of importance?





Revision approaches



#### Revision approaches

- Replacing jurisdiction-specific references with internationally recognised frameworks?
- Opinions on Revision Approaches 2-4.
- Could the revised metrics pose problems?
- Agree with criteria for determining revision approach?

- a) Add a mapping of ratification status of international references and develop replacement metrics or target for important gaps.
- b) Revision approaches 2 to 4 improve international applicability.
- When using jurisdictional references (step
  3) include description and reference.
- c) Avoid metrics available behind payment wall.
- Clearer process to support metrics selection.
- d) EFRAG agrees.





### SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates Objective

 Agree with methodology to update the SASB Standards Taxonomy?

- EFRAG agrees to update the SASB XBRL Taxonomy to reflect the amended SASB standards.
- EFRAG invites ISSB to work together on harmonisation of the sector specific digital XBRL.
- Encourages ISSB to use technical references of ESRS standards as part of XBRL taxonomy.





#### **Future Standards SASB Refinements**

- Other useful methods, considerations or specific amendments?
- Comments or suggestions?

- Further improvements beyond internationalisation including updates through future topical standards.
- Gap analysis between SASB standards and sustainability reporting frameworks.
- Development of contextual narrative disclosures.
- Examples of areas for improvement:
  - Quantification of scope 3 use of sold products
  - Transition plans in biodiversity area
  - Expansion of metrics for social disclosures.

Any other areas to be improved?







35 Square de Meeûs, B-1000 Brussels info@efrag.org - www.efrag.org



EFRAG is co-funded by the European Union and EEA and EFTA countries. The contents of EFRAG's work and the views and positions expressed are however the sole responsibility of EFRAG and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union (DG FISMA). Neither the European Union nor DG FISMA can be held responsible for them.

# Thank you!