

EFRAG TEG 17-18 January 2018 Paper 09-01

EFRAG Secretariat: Isabel Batista/ Filippo Poli

This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a public meeting of EFRAG TEG. The paper forms part of an early stage of the development of a potential EFRAG position. Consequently, the paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG Board or EFRAG TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in the EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions, as approved by the EFRAG Board, are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers, or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

EFRAG Research activities – new Agenda topics Issues Paper

Objective

- 1 EFRAG Research projects on Goodwill and Equity Instruments will be completed in the first half of 2018. The EFRAG Secretariat considers that there is capacity to add two new Research projects to the agenda.
- The EFRAG Secretariat has conducted some initial research on possible areas in IFRS Standards that would benefit from improvement and/or clarification and collected some preliminary input from EFRAG working groups and has short-listed a number of possible Research projects.
- The purpose of this session is to obtain EFRAG TEG views on the short list (and a possible ranking) to recommend to the EFRAG Board. The Secretariat also deems that the short list could be subject to a public consultation.
- When identifying the topics, the EFRAG Secretariat has assessed a number of factors:
 - (a) Where the topic currently sits on the IASB work plan;
 - (b) EFRAG publications in recent years;
 - (c) How the EFRAG Research could be used to influence the IASB work;
 - (d) Current and future activities from other Standard Setters;
 - (e) How evidence could be collected.
- 5 This paper identifies the following topics and presents a case-plan for each of them:
 - (a) Acquisition-related costs;
 - (b) Better information on intangible assets;
 - (c) Climate-related disclosure in financial statements;
 - (d) Derecognition;
 - (e) Disclosure of interests in subsidiaries;
 - (f) Variable and contingent payments

Acquisition-related costs

- When an entity acquires an asset, a business, a contract, a liability or an equity instrument it generally incurs costs associated with the acquisition. IFRS Standards use different terms to refer to these costs, such as directly attributable costs, acquisition-related costs, incremental costs and transaction costs. This paper refers to this type of costs as acquisition-related costs. Depending on the applicable IFRS Standard, acquisition-related will either be capitalised (generally added to or deducted from the amount initially recognised as an asset or a liability), expensed or recognised in equity.
- From a conceptual perspective, it is not always clear why IFRS Standards require different accounting for acquisition-related costs. A second issue is which costs qualify for capitalisation when an IFRS Standard requires capitalisation. As already mentioned above, a third issue is one of terminology, as IFRS Standards use different terms to describe similar type of costs. Examples of IFRS Standards that refer to acquisition-related costs and similar costs include:
 - (a) IAS 16 Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE) require that **directly attributable costs** required to bring the asset to its location and condition necessary for its intended use, are capitalised as part of the cost of an item of PPE. Although IAS 16 provides examples of directly attributable costs, in practice questions still arise about which costs qualify for capitalisation.
 - (b) IFRS 3 Business Combinations requires an entity to account for acquisition-related costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received. The reasoning is that acquisition-related costs are not part of the exchange transaction between the acquirer and the acquiree (or its former owners), they are not considered part of the business combination. In contrast, IFRS 3 (as issued in 2004) required the acquisition-related costs to be included in the cost of a business combination.
 - (c) IFRS 9 Financial Instruments requires transaction costs to be included in the initial measurement of financial assets and liabilities unless they are carried at fair value through profit or loss, in which case the transaction costs are expensed immediately in profit or loss. Transaction costs include only those costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition or origination of a financial asset or issue of a financial liability.
 - (d) IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation requires that **incremental costs** which are directly attributable to equity transactions (such as issuing new shares or buying back own shares) are recognised in equity. Costs which are not considered as 'incremental' should be expensed as they are incurred.
 - (e) IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers requires an entity to recognise as an asset the incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer if the entity expects to recover those costs. The incremental costs of obtaining a contract are those costs that an entity incurs to obtain a contract with a customer that it would not have incurred if the contract had not been obtained (for example, a sales commission). Costs to obtain a contract that would have been incurred regardless of whether the contract was obtained are recognised as an expense.
 - (f) IFRS 16 Leases requires any initial direct costs incurred by a lessee to be included in the initial measurement of the right-of use asset. For a lessor, initial direct costs, other than those incurred by manufacturer or dealer lessors, are included in the initial measurement of the net investment in the lease and reduce the amount of income recognised over the lease term. Initial direct costs are defined as incremental costs of obtaining a lease that would not

EFRAG Research activities – new Agenda topics

have been incurred if the lease had not been obtained, except for such costs incurred by a manufacturer or dealer lessor in connection with a finance lease. For an operating lease, a lessor shall add initial direct costs incurred in obtaining an operating lease to the carrying amount of the underlying asset and recognise those costs as an expense over the lease term on the same basis as the lease income.

- There are a number of different aspects about acquisition-related costs. One aspect 8 is that the accounting for acquisition-related costs is a key difference1 between the accounting for asset acquisitions and business combinations for which there is no clear conceptual basis. In EFRAG's response to the IASB's Exposure Draft ED/2016/01 Definition of a Business and Accounting for Previously Held Interests, EFRAG also observed that the tension arising from the distinction between business combinations and asset acquisitions was largely caused by the differences in the accounting. EFRAG recommended that in due course the IASB should analyse whether or not these accounting differences are justified by differences in the economic substance of the two classes of transaction. Recently issued IFRS Standards, such as IFRS 15 and IFRS 16 support capitalisation of some initial direct costs.
- Another aspect is that some argue that there is a tension between recognising share issuance costs in equity and including them in the initial measurement of a financial asset and financial liability that is measured at amortised cost. Furthermore, it is not always clear which costs quality for recognition in equity and which ones should be included in the measurement of a financial asset or financial liability.

Objective of a Research project

- A Research project would start with an analysis of how acquisition-related costs are treated in different IFRS Standards and which costs are considered 'qualifying costs'.
- A Research project would examine whether acquisition-related costs should be 11 accounted for similarly, and if so, seek to develop a common principle to account for acquisition-related costs under IFRS. This would enhance consistency in IFRS reporting and help to reduce (or justify) the tensions in existing IFRS Standards caused by the different accounting treatments, such as making the distinction between an asset acquisition and a business combination and accounting for share issuance costs versus costs to issue a financial liability or acquire a financial asset.
- 12 The Research project would seek to develop a common definition for all types of acquisition-related costs and a common principle to help determine which costs quality for capitalisation or recognition in equity. A common practice issue is deciding whether internal costs quality for this treatment. The project could also address this issue.

Better information on intangible assets

- There has been a lot of debate lately about how financial reporting does not fully provide a full picture of the value drivers of businesses. Internally-generated intangibles such as know-how, market share, assembled workforce, research and so on play an ever increasing role in the performance of entities, but are not reflected in the financial statements.
- 14 However, there are a number of challenges around recognition and measurement of these intangibles. Assessment of control is judgmental, especially at an early

¹ The main differences are the treatment of acquisition-related costs, contingent consideration and deferred taxation.

- development stage, and future benefits are highly variable. Historical cost may have little relevance and current value would be mostly based on unobservable input, since there is little or no active market for intangibles (most intangibles) and they may be not tradeable separately.
- 15 EFRAG could start a Research project to investigate the quantitative gap between market valuations and accounting equity and the reasons thereof, and develop alternatives to provide more relevant information on intangibles.

- The project could address a number of aspects in relation to internally-generated intangibles. First, it could consider and describe the different categories (marketing, technological, social and reputational) and how their different features are relevant in terms of reporting. It should also be considered which intangibles could be subject to separate description or disclosure.
- 17 A second aspect could be to investigate how to take into consideration uncertainties in relation to these elements, especially when they cannot be protected legally or they can be duplicated by competitors. Uncertainties can exist both in relation to the entity's ability to access future benefits, and their amount/timing.
- A third aspect could be about developing metrics to express earnings potential and value. These metrics may not be fit as measurement basis, but could be used to provide information in the footnotes.
- 19 A number of initiatives (Integrated Reporting, the World Intellectual Capital/Asset initiative....) have already taken steps to improve the reporting in this area. An important part of the Research project would be to investigate and leverage from these other initiatives.

Climate-related disclosure in financial statements

- 20 Climate change and global warming have been topical subjects for many years. However, the extreme weather conditions in recent years have raised the attention on the issue and many global leaders and other parties are asking what can and should be done to incorporate environmental aspects in financial reporting.
- 21 In June 2017, the Task Force of the Financial Stability Board (on behalf of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures) published a report that set out recommendations for helping companies disclose climate-related financial information (the report).
- The report noted that one of the most significant, and perhaps most misunderstood, risks that companies face today relates to climate change. While it is widely recognised that continued emission of greenhouse gases will cause further warming of the planet and this warming could lead to damaging economic and social consequences, the exact timing and severity of physical effects are difficult to estimate. Accordingly, many companies incorrectly perceive the implications of climate change to be long term and, therefore, not necessarily relevant to decisions made today. For many investors, climate change poses significant financial challenges and opportunities, now and in the future.
- The reports notes that, on a global basis, the expected transition to a lower-carbon economy is estimated to require around \$1 trillion of investments a year for the foreseeable future. Furthermore, because the transition to a lower-carbon economy requires significant and, in some cases, disruptive changes across economic sectors and industries in the near term, there could be implications for impairments testing and potentially sudden losses in asset values. The key features of the Task Force's recommendations are:

- (a) Adoptable by all companies;
- (b) Included in the annual report/financial statements (it refers to financial filings)
- (c) Designed to solicit decision-useful, forward-looking information on financial impacts
- (d) Strong focus on risks and opportunities related to transition to lower-carbon economy.
- 24 The Task Force's recommendations are voluntary and provide a foundation to improve investors' and others' ability to appropriately assess and price climate-related risk and opportunities. Improving the quality of climate-related financial disclosures begins with organisations' willingness to adopt the recommendations.
- To underpin its recommendations and help guide current and future developments in climate-related financial reporting, the Task Force developed on a set of principles² for effective disclosure and developed based on climate-information requirements in selected existing frameworks, research with relevant stakeholders and public consultations. The report notes, for example, that the International Integrated Reporting Framework (IIRF) has developed voluntary guidelines for publicly listed companies on non-financial information. Some jurisdictions have adopted these (or part of) these guidelines as part of their integrated reporting initiatives. It is understood that some EU Member States have expressed an interest in adopting Task Force's recommendations into their national law.

- A Research project could investigate whether the Task Force's recommendations could play a role in financial reporting disclosure under IFRS Standards.
- 27 In March 2017 the IASB published a Discussion Paper *Disclosure Initiative— Principles of Disclosure* that aims at responding to concerns about the way information is disclosed (or not disclosed) by identifying and better understanding disclosure issues, and developing new or clarifying existing disclosure principles to address those issues. The Research project would consider developments in the IASB Disclosure Initiative project, but focus on assessing whether the IASB should consider developing a separate IFRS Standard on disclosure about climate change based on the Task Force's recommendations.

Derecognition

- The Conceptual Framework includes a lengthy discussion on recognition criteria for assets and liabilities. Many Standards, such as IFRS 15 Revenues from Contracts with Customers and IFRS 16 Leases have added guidance to assess the conditions to recognise an item.
- 29 Less attention has been given on derecognition. Only IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* includes detailed guidance to assess when an entity can achieve derecognition of financial assets (and to less extent, financial liabilities).
- 30 Derecognition brings along significant financial impacts. In many cases, it triggers recognition of gains, and less frequently losses. Certain items can or must be recycled out of OCI through profit or loss and others may be transferred within equity.

² The report refers to seven principles as a framework to help develop effective disclosures.

- It may be argued that there is no need for specific guidance because recognition criteria can be used in a mirroring approach. However, this may not be the case in some IFRS Standards. In IFRS 16, the IASB maintained the distinction between finance and operating leases in lessor accounting: operating leases result in the lessee recognising a right to use, but the lessor does not derecognise any portion of the underlying asset.
- 32 In IFRS 9, transfer of substantially all risks and rewards results in derecognition of financial assets, while control acts as a fallback test. This is different from the approach in IFRS 15, where control is the condition to assess performance completion and transfer of risks and rewards is used as an indicator.
- There are a number of different aspects around derecognition. The first aspect would be to discuss if and under what circumstances recognition and derecognition may not be specular.
- The second could be to how to distinguish between termination and modification of a transaction. Modification is generally treated differently, but in certain conditions issues were raised about the distinction.
- 35 A third aspect concerns features such as put and call options, repurchase agreements or guarantees, and how they should impact derecognition of an asset/liability or of a gain.
- 36 A fourth aspect concerns the use of a full or partial derecognition approach for transactions like a sale-and-leaseback or a partial settlement. The use of either method may be more appropriate based no specific characteristics and has an impact of the amounts recognised in profit or loss.

- 37 The project would start with a comparative analysis of how derecognition is assessed in different IFRS Standards and what are the accounting implications. It could then develop a common definition and conceptual approach for derecognition. This would be relevant also to assess when a gain/loss is considered to be 'realised'.
- 38 The project would not necessarily lead to recommending changes in IFRSs but it would be useful to assess the degree of coherence across Standard and whether different treatment are justified.

Disclosure of interests in subsidiaries and joint arrangements

- IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities combines the disclosure requirements for an entity's interests in subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and structured entities into one disclosure IFRS Standard. IFRS 12 was developed as part of the revised IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements and the new IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements.
- 40 At the ASAF meeting in December 2017, the DSRC presented a paper titled 'Information deficiencies of todays' group financial statements and the specific of consolidation regarding these'.
- 41 The DSRC paper noted that while it is widely acknowledged that transactions with and between members of the same (consolidated) group should not influence the outside appearance of that group and therefore be eliminated, such eliminations do result in a loss of information which is definitely and finally lost for outside users of financial statements. Furthermore, much of today's complexity in the environment is not captured in the consolidated financial statements although it could highly influence the position, performance and cash flows of the group (e.g. strategic alliances, negative synergies).

- ASAF members generally agreed that consolidation led to loss of information through aggregation and the question then became how to provide lost information through disaggregation or disclosure, and there were different ways to disaggregate. A number of suggestions were made on how to address this. For example one suggestion was to have a summary in the disclosures on the impact of legal structures on the control of assets and liabilities to ensure people understood that the structure was of consequence to the understanding of the financial information, and the underlying tax assumptions. Separate financial statements were also viewed by some as playing an important role to fill the information gap.
- In past discussions, users of financial statements have also reported concerns that consolidated financial statements were sometimes lacking information on key areas such as restrictions on cash and profits due to exchange regulations. Dividend policy at subsidiary level was also important but this information was not available at consolidation level. User often had to consult separate financial statements to obtain a comprehensive overview of dividend distribution but the problem was that the single accounts were often prepared in the national language.
- The introduction of IFRS 11 in 2013 presented a significant change to the accounting for some types of joint venture arrangements; from proportionate consolidation to the equity method.

Research project

- A Research project would examine whether the disclosure requirements in IFRS 12 are sufficiently robust to address the concerns of missing information in the consolidated financial statements. The project could undertake a review of the information being reported in a selection of consolidated financial statements of large listed European companies and gather feedback from users of financial statements on the reported information.
- The loss of proportionate consolidation for joint ventures has raised some concerns about the loss of information. The Research project could examine this area and assess which information investors consider to be missing.
- The findings of the project could also serve as input to the IASB's forthcoming PIR of IFRS 10, IFRS 11 and IFRS 12.

Variable and contingent payments

- The issue of variable and contingent payments has been raised in different Standards recently. Both IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers and IFRS 16 Leases include guidance on recognition and measurement. However, the guidance is not fully consistent.
- The IFRS Interpretations Committee (IFRS IC) had a long-standing project on variable payments for tangible and intangible assets, with the objective being to address initial recognition and subsequent measurement. The project was put on hold pending completion of IFRS 16, which was expected to provide relevant guidance; however, the IFRS IC eventually did not agree to extend similar requirements to tangible and intangible assets and dropped the project.
- There are a number of different aspects about variable and contingent payments (V&CP). The first is the moment of initial recognition. This could occur when the underlying transaction is initially recognised; when their likelihood exceeds a defined recognition threshold; or when they become due under the terms of the underlying transaction.

- The second is the measurement basis. If these payments are recognised before they become due, then they need to be measured at an estimated amount. The basis for measurement could be fair value, expected outcome, or a single outcome (such as most likely outcome). If a probability threshold is included in the recognition criteria, the implications for the measurement basis should be assessed.
- The third is how the re-assessment should be accounted for. When these payments are related to the purchase of assets, the question arises if the re-measurement should affect the carrying amount of the asset or be charged to profit or loss.
- A fourth aspect is whether all variable and contingent payments be accounted for similarly. Payments could vary or be conditional on different factors: performance or output of the asset, changes in market prices and other events. Some of these factors are under the control of the management and others are not.

- One important aspect would be the scope definition. A fixed selling price per unit results in a total amount variable upon the number of units sold. This would not qualify for the scope of the project, however the distinction may not always be clear.
- Also, it may be useful to define a scope in reference to only certain classes of transactions. For instance, variable and contingent employee benefits (long-term bonus, post-retirement benefits, share-based payments with vesting conditions) pose specific issues.
- 56 The objective of the Research would be to:
 - (a) Identify the accounting issues around V&CP;
 - (b) Outline what are the information needs for users of financial statements in regards to V&CP;
 - (c) Assess to the extent possible the frequency, magnitude and nature of V&CP used in practice;
 - (d) Summarise and compare the guidance across different Standards and assess the rationale (or lack thereof) for difference in the requirements;
 - (e) Develop a number of accounting alternatives and illustrate the relevant strengths and limitations; and
 - (f) Consider improvements in presentation.

Questions for EFRAG TEG

- Do you support the topics identified above and how would you rank them in terms of priority for EFRAG Research work?
- Do you have suggestions for other Research topics and why do you think these other projects would be relevant for European constituents?