

DRSC e.V. z. H. Frau Dr. Kühne Zimmerstraße 30

10969 Berlin

8. April 2008

Sehr geehrte Frau Dr. Kühne,

beiliegend finden Sie die Fragebögen der EFRAG Effect Studies im Hinblick auf das Amendment des IFRS 2 "Share-based Payment: Vesting Conditions and Cancellations" und die neue Interpretation IFRIC 13 "Customer Loyalty Programmes".

Nach momentanem Kenntnisstand sind diese Neuerungen für die adidas AG nicht einschlägig. Es wird folglich seitens der adidas AG lediglich eine allgemeine Einschätzung der Neuerungen gegeben.

Sollten Sie noch weitere Fragen haben, zögern Sie bitte nicht, mich anzurufen.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

adidas AG

Herbert Frank Head of Group Accounting

adidas-Group.com

Herzogenaurach

Amtsgericht Fürth

91072



European Financial Reporting Advisory Group

# INVITATION TO COMMENT ON THE EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS OF THE AMENDMENT TO IFRS 2 SHARE-BASED PAYMENT: VESTING CONDITIONS AND CANCELLATIONS

### Comments should be sent to <u>commentletter@efrag.org</u> or uploaded via our website by 14 April 2008

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the endorsement of the Amendment to IFRS 2 Share-based Payment: Vesting Conditions and Cancellations ('the Amendment'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out a technical assessment of the Amendment against the criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the EU.

A brief summary of the Amendment is set out in Appendix 1.

Please provide the following details about yourself:

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record unless the respondent requests confidentiality. EFRAG is a transparent organisation and will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

its name:		
adidas AG		7, 400.00
THE PARTY OF THE P		
Are you/Is your	organisation or co	ompany a:
	User	Other (please specify)
Please provide a	short description	n of your activity/ the general activity of yo
organisation or o	company:	or year and year of germana.
Sporting Good	s Industr <u>y</u>	
Country where y	ou/your organisat	tion or company is located:

1

(e)	Contact details including e-mail address:
	adidas AG, Attn. Mr. Herbert Frank, Adi-Dassler-Platz 1-2,
	91074 Herzogenaurach, Germany, email to: herbert.frank@adidas-gr
for it n	RAG's initial assessment of the Amendment is that it meets the technical criterial endorsement. In other words, it is not contrary to the true and fair principle and neets the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability. RAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2.
(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
	Yes No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the implications of this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendment? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?  No
prep subs targ	AG is carrying out a separate assessment of the costs that will arise for arers and for users to implement the Amendment, both in year one and in sequent years. Some initial work has been carried out and the need for further eted work has been identified. The responses to this Invitation to Comment and esults of the additional targeted work will be used to complete the assesment.
	results of the initial assessment are set out in Appendix 3. To summarise, AG's initial assessment is that the Amendment will:
(a)	involve many preparers incurring some year one costs—in order to read, understand and implement the new requirements retrospectively. For some of those preparers the year one costs could be significant, but EFRAG's initial assessment is that, across preparers as a whole, the year one costs will not be significant (Appendix 3, paragraphs 4 - 7);
(b)	involve preparers incurring only insignificant incremental ongoing costs (Appendix 3, paragraphs 4 and 5); and

(c) involve users incurring no incremental year one or ongoing costs (Appendix 3, paragraph 8).

If you do not	please explain why you do not and (if p	ossible) explain broadly v
you believe th	e costs involved will be?	
	A STATE OF THE STA	
will to impressionificant. Its	ves (as explained in Appendix 3, paragove the quality of the financial information will involve on an overall level additions initial assessment furthermore is that the Amendment will exceed the costs involved.	formation provided and itional costs that will no ne benefits to be derived
Do you agree	with this assessment?	
Yes Yes	☐ No	
	No.	
		. Alexander
EFRAG is not a decision as to Amendment.	ware of any other factors that should be t what endorsement advice it should give th	aken into account in reach ne European Commission o
Do you agree	that there are no other factors?	
☑ Yes	☐ No	
If you do not should be for	please explain why you do not and wi EFRAG's endorsement advice?	hat you think the implica



#### European Financial Reporting Advisory Group

## INVITATION TO COMMENT ON THE COSTS AND BENEFITS OF IFRIC 13 Customer Loyalty Programmes

Comments should be sent to commentletter@efrag.org or uploaded onto the EFRAG website at www.efrag.org by 14 April 2008

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the endorsement of IFRIC 13 Customer Loyalty Programmes (IFRIC 13). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out a technical assessment of IFRIC 13 against the criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and is now carrying out a separate assessment of the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the EU.

A brief summary of IFRIC 13 is set out in Appendix 1.

Please provide the following details about yourself:

Although EFRAG has not yet finalised its technical assessment of IFRIC 13, its near-final conclusion is that IFRIC 13 is not contrary to the true and fair principle and that it meets the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is explained in Appendix 2.

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record unless the respondent requests confidentiality. EFRAG is a transparent organisation and will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

(a) Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or compaits name:  adidas_AG  (b) Are you/Is your organisation or company a:  preparer □ User □ Other (please specify)  (c) Please provide a short description of your activity/ the general activity of yorganisation or company:  Sporting_Goods_Industry  (d) Country where you/your organisation or company is located:  Germany				
<ul> <li>(b) Are you/Is your organisation or company a:</li></ul>	(a)		if you are respond	ding on behalf of an organisation or company
Preparer □ User □ Other (please specify)  (c) Please provide a short description of your activity/ the general activity of y organisation or company:  Sporting Goods Industry  (d) Country where you/your organisation or company is located:		a <u>didas AG</u>		
(c) Please provide a short description of your activity/ the general activity of y organisation or company:  Sporting Goods Industry  (d) Country where you/your organisation or company is located:	(b)	Are you/Is your	organisation or c	company a:
organisation or company:  Sporting Goods Industry  (d) Country where you/your organisation or company is located:		<sup>∞</sup> Preparer	□ User	□ Other (please specify)
(d) Country where you/your organisation or company is located:	(c)		•	on of your activity/ the general activity of you
		Sporting Good	ds Industry	
Germany	(d)	Country where	you/your organisa	ation or company is located:
		Germany	.,	

#### IFRIC 13: Invitation to comment on Costs and Benefits

Contact details including e-mail address: (e)



adidas AG P.O. Box 1120 91072 Herzogenaurach Germany

e-mail to: herbert.frankadidas-group.com

2 EFRAG is carrying out an assessment of the costs and benefits that will arise for preparers and for users to implement IFRIC 13, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out and the need for further consultation with individual entities has been identified. The results of the initial assessment are set out in Appendix 3. To summarise.

EFRAG believes that IFRIC 13 will involve preparers and users in incurring different levels of cost depending upon how closely entities' current approach is to that required by IFRIC 13. EFRAG believes, in particular, that the adoption of IFRIC 13 will:

- (a) involve all preparers incurring some year-one costs-in order to read, understand and implement the new requirements retrospectively-but those costs will be not be significant except as described at (b) below (Appendix 3 paragraphs 2 to 6);
- (b) involve some of those preparers that currently use the cost-provisioning approach incurring significant costs to modify or create appropriate systems in year one (Appendix 3 paragraph 5):
- (c) involve many preparers incurring incremental ongoing costs, although those costs will not be significant (Appendix 3 paragraph 7); and
- (d) involve users incurring only insignificant incremental year-one, and no incremental ongoing, costs (Appendix 3 paragraph 8).

Do you agree with this assessment?

Yes	No			
CX.				
you believ involved, a us the tur	ve the costs invo	olved will be (ie of what you esting	and (if possible) expl a description of the nate the costs to be). e to give us a basis	type(s) of cost Please also tell
			The second second	

### IFRIC 13: Invitation to comment on Costs and Benefits

current	ly apply	uggester the co perform	st-pro	ovisio	oning	аррго	ach t	to ac	count	ing for	r aw	/ard		
Do yo compa		ve that	this	will	resu	lt in	signif	icant	incre	ementa	al c	osts	for	you
Yes		No												
		Ø												
Please	include	ase exp figures ve us a l	for yo	our e	estima	ite of	the c	osts,	and a	also th	e tu	olved rnove	d wi er of	l be
					**********									_
														_
							_							_
			*****										90 P. S. C.	_
positior	of pre	es (as e parers (	and u	sers	is ta	ken i	nto ac	ccour	nt, the	bene				
positior from im	of pre plemen	parers a	and u IC 13	sers will	is ta	ken i	nto ac	ccour	nt, the	bene				
positior from im	of pre plemen	parers of ting IFR	and u IC 13	sers will	is ta	ken i	nto ac	ccour	nt, the	bene				
positior from im Do you	of pre plemen	parers of ting IFR with this	and u IC 13	sers will	is ta	ken i	nto ac	ccour	nt, the	bene				
position from im Do you Yes  If you o	of pre plemen agree v	parers of ting IFR vith this	and u IC 13 asses	sers will ssme	ent?	ken i ed the	nto ac cost	ccour s inve	nt, the olved.	bene	efits	that	will	aris
position from im Do you Yes  If you o	of pre plemen agree v	parers of ting IFR with this No	and u IC 13 asses	sers will ssme	ent?	ken i ed the	nto ac cost	ccour s inve	nt, the olved.	bene	efits	that	will	aris
position from im Do you Yes  If you o	of pre plemen agree v	parers of ting IFR with this No	and u IC 13 asses	sers will ssme	ent?	ken i ed the	nto ac cost	ccour s inve	nt, the olved.	bene	efits	that	will	aris
position from im Do you Yes  If you o	of pre plemen agree v	parers of ting IFR with this No	and u IC 13 asses	sers will ssme	ent?	ken i ed the	nto ac cost	ccour s inve	nt, the olved.	bene	efits	that	will	aris
position from im Do you Yes  If you o	of pre plemen agree v	parers of ting IFR with this No	and u IC 13 asses	sers will ssme	ent?	ken i ed the	nto ac cost	ccour s inve	nt, the olved.	bene	efits	that	will	aris

DESC BEELIN

### IFRIC 13: Invitation to comment on Costs and Benefits

3 that sho	ot aware of any factors other than those mentioned in appendices 2 and be taken into account in reaching a decision as to what endorsement buld give the European Commission on IFRIC 13.
Do you ag	e that there are no other factors?
Yes	No
τ <b>¾</b>	0
lf you do should be	ot, please explain why you do not and what you think the implication or EFRAG's endorsement advice?
	TIEDIO 40 is that it is not controlly to the
true and reliability a set out in A	
true and reliability a set out in A	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevance d comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view i
true and reliability a set out in A	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevance discomparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view in pendix 2.
true and reliability a set out in A	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevance discomparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is pendix 2.  The with this assessment?
true and reliability a set out in a Do you ag Yes  If you do r IFRIC 13 what that 6	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevanced comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is opendix 2.  The with this assessment?  No  If the agree, it is presumably because you have a significant concern about the process of t
true and reliability a set out in a Do you ag Yes  If you do r IFRIC 13 what that a not have	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevanced comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is opendix 2.  The with this assessment?  No  If the agree, it is presumably because you have a significant concern about the process of t
true and reliability a set out in a Do you ag Yes  If you do r IFRIC 13 what that a not have	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevanced comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is opendix 2.  The with this assessment?  No  If the agree, it is presumably because you have a significant concern about the process of t
true and reliability a set out in a Do you ag Yes  If you do r IFRIC 13 what that a not have	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevanced comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is opendix 2.  The with this assessment?  No  If the agree, it is presumably because you have a significant concern about the process of t
true and reliability a set out in a Do you ag Yes  If you do r IFRIC 13 what that a not have	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevanced comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is opendix 2.  The with this assessment?  No  If the agree, it is presumably because you have a significant concern about the process of t
true and reliability a set out in a Do you ag Yes  If you do r IFRIC 13 what that a not have	ir principle and it meets the criteria of understandability, relevanced comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is opendix 2.  The with this assessment?  No  If the agree, it is presumably because you have a significant concern about the process of t

DESC BERLIN